arXiv:1210.7378v1 [hep-th] 27 Oct 2012

Dark Matter

Neutral Massive Spin $\frac{1}{2}$ Particles Emission in a Rindler Spacetime

Pietro Longhi
NHETC and Department of Physics and Astronomy
Rutgers, The State University of New Jersey
136 Frelinghuysen Road, Piscataway, NJ 08854-8019 USA

E-mail: `longhi@physics.rutgers.edu`

Roberto Soldati
Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Bologna
Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Sezione di Bologna
Via Irnerio 46, 40126 - Bologna (Italy)

E-mail: `roberto.soldati@bo.infn.it`

Abstract. The Unruh effect for the rate of emission and absorption of neutral massive Majorana spinor particles – the most plausible consituents of Dark Matter – in a Rindler spacetime is thoroughly investigated. The corresponding Bogolyubov coefficients are explicitly calculated and the consistency with Fermi-Dirac statistics and the Pauli principle is actually verified.

PACS numbers: 03.70.+k,98.80.Qc

1. Introduction

There is little doubt that the very existence, the nature as well as the eventual detection of non-baryonic Dark Matter is the most intringuing issue of modern Cosmology and Particle Physics. There is no surprise that, in spite of the fact that its particle structure is insofar unknown – certainly rather different from any other kind of ordinary Visible Matter – the nearly exhaustive list of the existing references [1] attempts to frame Dark Matter within the nearest extensions of the Standard Model of (visible) Particle Physics or its super-symmetric extensions. In our opinion, this might be a somewhat conventional wisdom and widespread prejudice which, strictly speaking, does not lie neither upon any solid ground nor any actual phenomenological evidence. Nonetheless, the non-baryonic Dark Matter is usually assumed to be a weakly interacting massive particle (WIMP) yet undiscovered. Moreover, the Dark Matter is also assumed to be stable on the scale of the cosmological structures formation. More or less well motivated Particle Physics candidates have been proposed [1], all of which arise from specific models beyond the Standard Model of Particle Physics. Certainly, if the Dark Matter really exists, the massive, neutral, spin $\frac{1}{2}$ Majorana particles are pretty available candidates for its constituents. Moreover, it is now quite evident [2],[3] that galaxies do (uniformly) accelerate one respect to each other. Thus it appears to be quite plausible that the eventual detection of the Dark Matter constituents will be shaped like a (very weakly) accelerated phenomenon. Accelerated observers are expected to experience the so-called Unruh effect [4, 5]. This entails that, for instance, a cosmological thermal bath of WIMP particles is expected to be produced by the cosmic acceleration, with a characteristic temperature called the Unruh temperature $T = \hbar H/2\pi k_{\rm B}$, where $k_{\rm B}$ is the Boltzmann's constant and H the (time dependent) Hubble's parameter, which is tightly related to the cosmic acceleration $\mathrm{a}_{\rm cosmic} = cH \quad\approx\quad 9.8 \times 10^{-10}$ m s^{-2}. In other words, it means that for the uniformly accelerated observers co-moving with the galaxies, the environment is populated by some thermal distribution of particles created by the underlying "inertial vacuum" where the relativistic quantum laws of Physics are presumably holding true. If this is the picture, the corresponding WIMP production is determined by the so-called Bogolyubov coefficients [6, 7] for the inertial to accelerated observers transformation law. The Bogolyubov coefficients for the production of spinless particles out of the inertial vacuum are known since a long time [4] and have been recently rigorously reobtained [8]. It is the purpose of this paper to calculate the Bogolyubov coefficients for the emission out of the inertial vacuum of a thermal distribution of neutral spin $\frac{1}{2}$ massive particles, i.e. the most plausible WIMP candidates for the Dark Matter structure. As a matter of fact, to the best of our knowledge the Fulling modes expansion [9] and the ensuing Bogolyubov coefficients have never been explicitly calculated for the important case of a Majorana spinor field – see e.g. the recent up-to-date review paper [5]. It is just the aim of this paper to fill this lack. In Sections 2 and 3 we explain our plain method by reviewing and expanding our recent treatment of the spinless case and in so doing we establish our notations and conventions. Then we shortly re-examine in Section 4 the quantisation of a massive Majorana field in the Minkowski space-time and generalize it to the curvilinear coordinates in Section 5, where we also analyse the self-adjointness of the self-conjugated Hamiltonian operator. In Section 6 the Bogolyubov coefficients for the massive Majorana field in a Rindler space-time are eventually calculated and the agreement with the Pauli exclusion principle and/or the completeness relation is actually verified, as a consistency check of the canonical anticommutation relations in the Rindler space-time. A short discussion is contained in the concluding Section.

2. Klein-Gordon Equation in a Rindler Spacetime

As a warming up preliminary exercise, we shall first review the canonical quantization of a real scalar field in a Rindler coordinate system, i.e., as experienced by some uniformly accelerated noninertial observer. In so doing we shall establish our notations and conventions. To this concern, consider the four dimensional Minkowski spacetime with the line element [7]

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\,\mathrm{d}X^\alpha \mathrm{d}X^\beta = g_{\mu\nu}(x)\,\mathrm{dx}^\mu \mathrm{dx}^\nu \tag{1}$$

where the constant metric tensor $\eta_{\alpha\beta} = \mathrm{diag}\,(+,-,-,-)$ is relative to an inertial coordinate system in the Minkowski spacetime labelled by the so called anholomic indices denoted with the greek letters from the first part of the alphabet, while the Einstein convention on the sum over repeated indices is understood. We employ natural units $\hbar = c = 1$ unless explicitly stated. If we set

$$X^\alpha = (\,c\tau, X, Y, Z\,) \qquad\qquad \mathrm{x}^\mu = (ct, x, y, z) \tag{2}$$

Then we shall denote the following spacelike region of the Minkowski spacetime, viz.,

$$\mathfrak{W}_R = \{X^\mu \in \mathbb{R}^4 \,|\, X \geq 0\,,\, c^2\tau^2 \leq X^2\} \tag{3}$$

as the right Rindler wedge. Here we introduce the so called Rindler curvilinear coordinate system, which describes an accelerated observer: namely,

$$c\tau = x\sinh(\mathrm{a}t/c) \qquad\qquad (\,\mathrm{a} > 0 \,\vee\, x \geq 0\,) \tag{4}$$

$$X = x\cosh(\mathrm{a}t/c) \tag{5}$$

$$Y = y \tag{6}$$

$$Z = z \tag{7}$$

where $[\,\mathrm{a}\,]$ is the constant acceleration. The above coordinate transformations can be readily inverted, viz.,

$$t = \frac{c}{\mathrm{a}}\,\mathrm{Arth}\,\frac{c\tau}{X} \qquad x = \sqrt{X^2 - c^2\tau^2} \geq 0 \qquad y = Y \qquad z = Z \tag{8}$$

in such a manner that we can also write

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x)\,\mathrm{dx}^\mu \mathrm{dx}^\nu = x^2(\mathrm{a}/c)^2\,\mathrm{d}t^2 - \mathrm{d}x^2 - \mathrm{d}Y^2 - \mathrm{d}Z^2 \tag{9}$$

whence we obtain

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{a}^2x^2/c^4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{10}$$

so that

$$g = \det g_{\mu\nu}(x) = [\,\det g^{\mu\nu}(x)\,]^{-1} = -\,\mathrm{a}^2x^2/c^4 \tag{11}$$

Moreover we find, for $\xi = \mathrm{a}x/c^2$ and $\eta = \mathrm{a}t/c\,$,

$$\frac{\partial X^\alpha}{\partial \mathrm{x}^\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \xi\cosh\eta & \sinh\eta & 0 & 0 \\ \xi\sinh\eta & \cosh\eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \zeta^{\,\alpha}_{\nu}(\xi,\eta) \tag{12}$$

that endorses

$$\det(\partial X^\alpha/\partial \mathrm{x}^\nu) = \sqrt{-g} = \xi = \mathrm{a}x/c^2 > 0$$

while the inverse matrix reads

$$\frac{\partial \mathrm{x}^{\nu}}{\partial X^{\alpha}} = \frac{1}{\xi}\left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cosh\eta & -\sinh\eta & 0 & 0 \\ -\xi\sinh\eta & \xi\cosh\eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) = \zeta_{\alpha}^{\nu}[\,\mathrm{X}(\xi,\eta)\,] \tag{13}$$

Notice that, by changing both signes in the definitions (4) and (5), we shall cover the other spacelike region of the Minkowski spacetime, i.e. the left Rindler wedge

$$\mathfrak{W}_L = \left\{ X^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}^4 \,|\, X \leq 0\,,\, c^2\tau^2 \leq X^2 \right\} \tag{14}$$

For a generic curvilinear coordinate system, the vierbeine or tetrad fields $V_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x})$ are defined by the relationship

$$g_{\mu\nu}(x) = V_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x})\, V_{\nu}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})\, \eta_{\alpha\beta} \tag{15}$$

so that, for example, one can obviously identify $V_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x}) = \zeta_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\xi,\eta)$ in the right Rindler's wedge. Nonetheless, it is clear from (15) that, in general, the tetrad fields are determined up to a local Lorentz transformation

$$\overline{V}_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x}) = \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})\, V_{\mu}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})$$

As a matter of fact

$$g_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{x}) = \overline{V}_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x})\, \overline{V}_{\nu}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})\, \eta_{\alpha\beta} = V_{\mu}^{\gamma}(\mathrm{x})\, \Lambda_{\gamma}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x})\, V_{\nu}^{\delta}(\mathrm{x})\, \Lambda_{\delta}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})\, \eta_{\alpha\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\, V_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x})\, V_{\nu}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})$$

Actually, the simplest and most convenient choice satisfying the above defining relation (15) in the right Rindler's wedge appears to be

$$V_{\mu}^{0}(x) = \frac{\mathrm{a}\,x}{c^2}\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right) = V_{0\mu}(x) \tag{16}$$

$$V_{\mu}^{\jmath} = \delta_{\mu}^{\jmath} = -\,V_{\jmath\mu} \qquad\qquad (\,\jmath = 1,2,3\,) \tag{17}$$

$$V_{0}^{\nu}(x) = g^{\,\nu\rho}(x)\, V_{0\rho}(x) = \frac{c^2}{\mathrm{a}\,x}\left(\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{array}\right) \tag{18}$$

$$V_{\jmath}^{\nu} = g^{\,\nu\rho}(x)\, V_{\jmath\rho} = g^{\,\nu\rho}(x)\, g_{\,\jmath\rho} = \delta_{\jmath}^{\nu} \qquad\qquad (\,\jmath = 1,2,3\,) \tag{19}$$

Notice that we find

$$V_{\mu}^{\alpha}(x) \;\;= \zeta_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\xi,0) \qquad\qquad V_{\alpha}^{\mu}(x) = \zeta_{\alpha}^{\mu}(\xi,0) \tag{20}$$

$$\zeta_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\eta,\xi) = \Lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\eta)\, V_{\mu}^{\beta}(x) \tag{21}$$

$$\Lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}(\eta) \quad \equiv \left(\begin{array}{cccc} \cosh\eta & \sinh\eta & 0 & 0 \\ \sinh\eta & \cosh\eta & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array}\right) \tag{22}$$

Moreover we have the Christoffel symbols

$$\Gamma^{\lambda}_{\mu\nu}(x) = \tfrac{1}{2}\, g^{\kappa\lambda}(x)\, \{\partial_\mu\, g_{\nu\kappa}(x) + \partial_\nu\, g_{\mu\kappa}(x) - \partial_\kappa\, g_{\mu\nu}(x)\} \tag{23}$$

so that there are only two nonvanishing components of the affine connection: namely,

$$\Gamma^{0}_{01}(x) = \tfrac{1}{2}\, g^{00}(x)\, \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\, g_{00}(x) = \frac{1}{x} = \Gamma^{0}_{10}(x) \tag{24}$$

$$\Gamma^{1}_{00}(x) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x}\, g^{00}(x) = \frac{\mathrm{a}^2 x}{c^4} \tag{25}$$

This entails the covariant D'Alembert second order differential operator acting on a scalar field function

$$\begin{aligned} g^{\mu\nu}(x)\, D_\mu \partial_\nu &= g^{00}(x)\left(\partial_t^2 - \mathrm{a}^2 x\, \partial_x\right) - \Delta \\ &= \frac{1}{x^2\mathrm{a}^2}\, \partial_t^2 - \frac{1}{x} \cdot \partial_x - \partial_x^2 - \partial_y^2 - \partial_z^2 \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

where Δ is the Laplace operator, for a real scalar field satisfies the covariant Klein-Gordon equation

$$\left[\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}x}\right)^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} - \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial x} - \Delta + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2\right] \phi(t,x,y,z) = 0 \tag{27}$$

If we introduce the partial Fourier trasform

$$\phi(t,x,y,z) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}k_0}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{k}}{2\pi}\ \phi(k_0,\mathbf{k};x)\ \exp\{-ik_0 t + i\,\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}_\perp\} \tag{28}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_\perp = (\,y, z\,) \qquad \mathbf{k} = (k_y, k_z) \qquad \kappa = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + (mc/\hbar)^2} \tag{29}$$

then we obtain

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - \kappa^2 + \frac{c^4 k_0^2}{\mathrm{a}^2 x^2}\right] \phi(k_0,\mathbf{k};x) = 0 \tag{30}$$

It is convenient to introduce the euclidean variable

$$ik_0 = \bar{k} \qquad \bar{k}^2 = -\,k_0^2 \tag{31}$$

in such a manner that we come to the second order ordinary differential equation

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - \left(\kappa^2 + \frac{\bar{k}^2}{x^2\mathrm{a}^2}\right)\right] \phi(\bar{k},\mathbf{k};x) = 0 \tag{32}$$

the solutions of which are the modified Bessel functions of imaginary order, viz.,

$$\phi(\bar{k},\mathbf{k};\kappa x) = Z_{i\nu}(i\kappa x) = C_1(\mathbf{k})\, I_{i\nu}(\kappa x) + C_2\,(\mathbf{k}) K_{i\nu}(\kappa x) \tag{33}$$

$$\kappa = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2 + \left(\frac{mc}{\hbar}\right)^2} > 0 \qquad \nu \equiv \frac{c^2 k_0}{\hbar\mathrm{a}} = \frac{\bar{k}}{i\,\mathrm{a}} \tag{34}$$

However, the solutions $I_\nu(\kappa x)$ must be rejected because they are exponentially increasing for large positive x, so that we are left with the normal modes decomposition of the real scalar field in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$: namely,

$$\phi(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{k}}{2\pi}\ f(E,\mathbf{k})\ \exp\{\,i\mathbf{k}\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp - iEt\}\, K_{iE/\mathrm{a}}(\kappa x) + \mathrm{c.c.}$$

where we have made use of the reality conditions, i.e.,

$$f(-E,-\mathbf{k}) = f^*(E,\mathbf{k}) \qquad (\,\forall\,\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{R}^2\ \vee\ E\in\mathbb{R}\,)$$

and of the property

$$K_{i\nu}(\kappa x) = K_{-i\nu}(\kappa x)$$

The invariant scalar product between two solutions of the covariant Klein-Gordon field equation is defined by [7]

$$(\,\phi_2\,,\,\phi_1\,) \equiv \oint_\Sigma \phi_2^*(\mathrm{x})\,i\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\lambda\,\phi_1(\mathrm{x})\,\mathrm{d}\Sigma^\lambda \tag{35}$$

where Σ is a three dimensional spacelike hypersurface while

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{d}\Sigma^\lambda &\equiv \tfrac{1}{6}\,\varepsilon^{\,\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\mathrm{d}x_\mu\mathrm{d}x_\nu\mathrm{d}x_\rho\,(-\,g)^{-1/2}\\ &= \tfrac{1}{6}\,\varepsilon^{\,\lambda\mu\nu\rho}\,g_{\,\mu\alpha}(x)\,g_{\,\nu\beta}(x)\,g_{\,\rho\sigma}(x)\,\mathrm{d}x^\alpha\,\mathrm{d}x^\beta\,\mathrm{d}x^\sigma\,(-\,g)^{-1/2}\end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

is the invariant oriented hypersurface element with $\varepsilon^{0123}=1$. Thus, for the initial time three dimensional hypersurface in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$ we get

$$\mathrm{d}\Sigma^0\ =\ -\,\frac{c^2}{\mathrm{a}\,x}\,\theta(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \qquad \mathrm{d}\Sigma^\imath = 0 \quad (\,\imath = 1,2,3\,) \tag{37}$$

where $\theta(x)$ is the usual Heaviside step distribution. A complete and orthonormal set of positive frequency solutions for the Klein-Gordon equation in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$ is provided by the generalization of the so called Fulling modes [9, 10]

$$\Phi_{E,\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{x}) = \frac{c\theta(x)}{2\pi^2\sqrt{\mathrm{a}}}\,\sqrt{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi cE}{\hbar\mathrm{a}}\right)}\;K_{iE/\mathrm{a}}(\kappa x)\,\exp\{\,-iEt/\hbar + i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}_\perp\} \tag{38}$$

The normalization constant can be fixed e.g. by the natural requirement

$$(\,\Phi_{E',\mathbf{k}'}\,,\,\Phi_{E,\mathbf{k}}\,)\ =\ \hbar c\,\delta(E-E')\,\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') \tag{39}$$

As a matter of fact, using [12] we can write

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{E+E'}{2\pi\mathrm{a}}\int_{0^+}^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}\,K_{iE'/\mathrm{a}}(\kappa x)\,K_{iE/\mathrm{a}}(\kappa x)\\ &= \frac{E+E'}{16\pi\mathrm{a}\,\Gamma(0^+)}\,\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{iE+iE'}{2\mathrm{a}}\right)\,\Gamma\left(\frac{iE-iE'}{2\mathrm{a}}\right)\right|^2\\ &= \pi\mathrm{a}/\{4\,\Gamma(0^+)\,(E-E')\,\sinh[\,\pi(E-E')/2\mathrm{a}\,]\,\sinh[\,\pi(E+E')/2\mathrm{a}\,]\}\\ &= 0 \qquad \text{for}\quad E\neq E'\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

Next, if we replace $(E'-E)/\mathrm{a}\mapsto\ \xi+\mathrm{i}\epsilon$ then we can write for $\epsilon\ \to\ 0^+$

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{E+E'}{2\pi\mathrm{a}}\int_\epsilon^\infty \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}\,K_{iE'/\mathrm{a}}(\kappa x)\,K_{iE/\mathrm{a}}(\kappa x)\\ &= \quad \frac{1}{\xi+i\epsilon}\cdot\frac{\pi}{4\,\Gamma(\epsilon)\,\sinh(\pi E/\mathrm{a})\,\sinh[\,\pi(\xi+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)/2\,]}\\ &= \quad \left\{\mathrm{CPV}\left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right) - i\pi\delta(\xi)\right\}\frac{\pi\epsilon}{4\,\Gamma(1+\epsilon)\,\sinh(\pi E/\mathrm{a})\,\sinh[\,\pi(\xi+\mathrm{i}\epsilon)/2\,]}\\ &\xrightarrow{\epsilon\downarrow 0}\quad \frac{-\,\pi\mathrm{a}}{2\,\sinh(\pi E/\mathrm{a})}\,\delta(E-E')\end{aligned}$$

in which CPV denotes the Cauchy-Hadamard principal value tempered distribution. Notice that the orthonormalization relation for the real Bassett-McDonald functions can be recast in the two equivalent and suggestive forms

$$\int_{0^+}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}\, K_{i\nu'}(\kappa x)\, K_{i\nu}(\kappa x) \;=\; \frac{\pi^2\delta(\nu-\nu')}{2\nu\sinh(-\pi\nu')} \tag{41}$$

$$c^2\int_{0^+}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d}x}{\mathrm{a}\,x}\, K_{i\nu'}(\kappa x)\, K_{i\nu}(\kappa x) \;=\; \frac{-\,\mathrm{a}\,\hbar^2\pi^2}{2E\sinh(\pi cE/\hbar\mathrm{a})}\;\delta(\,E-E'\,) \tag{42}$$

Then we are led to the complete and orthonormal set of the scalar normal modes in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$: namely,

$$\Phi_{\nu,\,\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{x}) \;=\; \frac{c}{2\pi^2}\,\sqrt{\mathrm{a}^{-1}\sinh(\pi\nu)}\,\theta(x)\,K_{i\nu}(\kappa x)\;\exp\{\,-i\,\mathrm{a}\,\nu t + i\,\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{x}_\perp\} \tag{43}$$

$$\nu \equiv \frac{cE}{\hbar\mathrm{a}} \in \mathbb{R} \qquad\qquad \kappa = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}^2+m^2} \qquad\qquad [\,\Phi_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}\,] = \sqrt{\mathrm{cm}}$$

which satisfy the invariant orthonormality relations

$$(\,\Phi_{E',\,\mathbf{k}'}\,,\,\Phi_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}\,) = \oint_{\Sigma_R} \Phi^*_{E',\,\mathbf{k}'}(\mathrm{x})\,i\,\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\lambda\,\Phi_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{x})\,\mathrm{d}\Sigma^\lambda = \hbar c\,\delta(E-E')\,\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}') \tag{44}$$

or equivalently

$$(\,\Phi_{\mu,\,\mathbf{k}}\,,\,\Phi_{\nu,\,\mathbf{p}}\,) = \frac{c^2}{\mathrm{a}}\,\delta(\,\mu-\nu\,)\,\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{p}) \tag{45}$$

According to our definitions, the invariant scalar normal modes (43) have the standard canonical dimensions $[\,\Phi_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}\,] = \mathrm{eV}^{-1/2}$ in natural units. It follows that the Fulling normal modes expansion of the real scalar quantized field on the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$ reads, in accordance with [10]

$$\phi_R(\mathrm{x}) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\hbar c}\int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{k}\,[\,a_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}\,\Phi_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{x}) + a^\dagger_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}\,\Phi^*_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}(\mathrm{x})\,] \tag{46}$$

where

$$[\,a_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}\,,\,a^\dagger_{E',\,\mathbf{k}'}\,] \;=\; \hbar c\,\delta(E-E')\,\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{k}')$$

$$[\,a_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}\,,\,a_{E',\,\mathbf{k}'}\,] = 0 \qquad\qquad [\,a^\dagger_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}\,,\,a^\dagger_{E',\,\mathbf{k}'}\,] = 0$$

$$\forall\,\mathbf{k},\mathbf{k}' \in \mathbb{R}^2 \qquad\qquad \forall\,E,E' \in \mathbb{R}$$

in such a manner that the quantized real scalar field in the Rindler coordinates has the customary canonical dimensions $[\,\phi\,] = \mathrm{eV}$ in natural units, while the operators $[\,a_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}\,] = \mathrm{cm}^{3/2}$. It is very important to realize that the spinless and chargeless quanta

$$a^\dagger_{E,\,\mathbf{k}}|0\rangle \qquad\qquad (\,E,\,\mathbf{k}\in\mathbb{R}^3\,)$$

do indeed correspond to pseudoparticles, with fixed transverse wave numbers $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ but indefinite energy $-\infty < E < \infty$, the very same feature holding true for the multi-pseudoparticle completely symmetric states.

On the other side, the quantized real scalar Klein-Gordon field in the Minkowski spacetime has the usual normal mode expansion

$$\phi_M(\tau,\mathbf{X}) = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{K}\,[\,A_{\mathbf{K}}\,u_{\mathbf{K}}(\tau,\mathbf{X}) + A^\dagger_{\mathbf{K}}\,u^*_{\mathbf{K}}(\tau,\mathbf{X})\,] \tag{47}$$

where $K^\alpha \equiv (K_0, \mathbf{K}) = (\omega_\mathbf{K}, K, \mathbf{K}_\perp)$ whereas

$$u_\mathbf{K}(\tau, \mathbf{X}) = \sqrt{c}\,[\,(2\pi)^3\, 2\omega_\mathbf{K}\,]^{-1/2}\ \exp\{-\,i\,K_\alpha\, X^\alpha\} \tag{48}$$

$$K_0 = \omega_\mathbf{K}/c = \sqrt{\mathbf{K}^2 + (mc/\hbar)^2} \tag{49}$$

$$(\,u_\mathbf{K}\,,\,u_{\mathbf{K}'}\,) = \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{K}') \qquad\qquad (\,\forall\,\mathbf{K}, \mathbf{K}' \in \mathbb{R}^3\,) \tag{50}$$

in which the creation and destruction operators satisfy the standard algebra

$$[\,A_\mathbf{K}\,,\,A_\mathbf{P}\,] = 0 \qquad [\,A_\mathbf{K}\,,\,A^\dagger_\mathbf{P}\,] = \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{P}) \qquad [\,A^\dagger_\mathbf{K}\,,\,A^\dagger_\mathbf{P}\,] = 0 \tag{51}$$

that leads to the Lorentz invariant canonical commutation relation

$$\begin{aligned}[\,\phi_M(\tau, \mathbf{X})\,,\,\phi_M(\tau', \mathbf{X}')\,] &= \frac{1}{i}\,D(\tau - \tau', \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'; m) \\ &= \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{K}\,\{\,u_\mathbf{K}(\tau, \mathbf{X})\,u^*_\mathbf{K}(\tau', \mathbf{X}') - u^*_\mathbf{K}(\tau, \mathbf{X})\,u_\mathbf{K}(\tau', \mathbf{X}')\,\}\end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

where $D(\tau - \tau', \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'; m)$ is the real and odd Pauli-Jordan tempered distribution which enjoys the microcausality property

$$[\,\phi_M(\tau, \mathbf{X})\,,\,\phi_M(\tau', \mathbf{X}')\,] = 0 \qquad\quad \text{for} \quad (\tau - \tau')^2 < (\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}')^2 \tag{53}$$

$$\lim_{\tau' \to \tau}\,[\,i\dot{\phi}_M(\tau, \mathbf{X})\,,\,\phi_M(\tau', \mathbf{X}')\,] = \lim_{\tau' \to \tau}\,\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}\,D(\tau - \tau', \mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}'; m) = \delta(\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{X}') \tag{54}$$

We shall now investigate the structure of the Bogolyubov coefficients, leading to the celebrated Unruh effect.

3. The Bogolyubov Transformations for the Spinless Neutral Field

According to the notations of [7] we can write the normal modes expansions of a general spinless and chargeless quantized field χ with respect to the inertial coordinate system $X^\mu = (\tau, \mathbf{X})$ and noninertial accelerated coordinate system $\mathrm{x}^\nu = (t, \mathbf{x})$ in the form

$$\bar{\chi}[X(\mathrm{x})] = \sum_\iota\,\{\bar{a}_\iota\,\bar{u}_\iota[X(\mathrm{x})] + \mathrm{h.c.}\} = \sum_\jmath\,[\,a_\jmath\,u_\jmath(\mathrm{x}) + \mathrm{h.c.}\,] = \chi(\mathrm{x}) \tag{55}$$

where the two sets of normal modes $\{u_\iota(X)\}$ and $\{\bar{u}_\jmath(\mathrm{x})\}$ are supposed to be complete and orthonormal with respect to some suitably defined invariant inner product, viz.,

$$\langle\,u_\iota\,|\,u_\kappa\,\rangle = \delta_{\iota\kappa} = \,-\,\langle\,u^*_\iota\,|\,u^*_\kappa\,\rangle \qquad\qquad \langle\,u_\iota\,|\,u^*_\kappa\,\rangle = 0$$

$$\langle\,\bar{u}_\jmath\,|\,\bar{u}_\ell\,\rangle = \delta_{\jmath\ell} = \,-\,\langle\,\bar{u}^*_\jmath\,|\,\bar{u}^*_\ell\,\rangle \qquad\qquad \langle\,\bar{u}_\jmath\,|\,\bar{u}^*_\ell\,\rangle = 0$$

$$\sum_\jmath\,\left[\,|u_\jmath\rangle\langle u_\jmath| - |u^*_\jmath\rangle\langle u^*_\jmath|\,\right] = \sum_\jmath\,\left[\,|\bar{u}_\jmath\rangle\langle \bar{u}_\jmath| - |\bar{u}^*_\jmath\rangle\langle \bar{u}^*_\jmath|\,\right] = \frac{\mathbb{D}}{i} \tag{56}$$

Notice that the inner product between any pair of solutions of the invariant Klein-Gordon equation does satisfy by definition the chain of equalities

$$\langle\,f\,|\,g\,\rangle^* = \langle\,g\,|\,f\,\rangle = \,-\,\langle\,g^*\,|\,f^*\,\rangle = \,-\,\langle\,f^*\,|\,g^*\,\rangle^*$$

$$\langle\, f \,|\, g \,\rangle = -\,\langle\, f^* \,|\, g^* \,\rangle = -\,\langle\, g^* \,|\, f^* \,\rangle^* = -\,\langle\, g \,|\, f \,\rangle = -\,\langle\, f \,|\, g \,\rangle^*$$

that show its purely imaginary nature. The completeness relations (56) can be explicitly written in the form

$$\sum_{\jmath}\,\left[\,\langle X|\bar{u}_{\jmath}\rangle\langle\bar{u}_{\jmath}|Y\rangle - \langle X|\bar{u}^*_{\jmath}\rangle\langle\bar{u}^*_{\jmath}|Y\rangle\,\right] \tag{57}$$

$$= \sum_{\jmath}\,\left[\,\bar{u}_{\jmath}(X)\bar{u}^*_{\jmath}(Y) - \bar{u}^*_{\jmath}(X)\bar{u}_{\jmath}(Y)\,\right] \tag{58}$$

$$= (-\,i)\,D(X-Y;m) \equiv -\,i\,\langle X|\mathbb{D}|Y\rangle \tag{59}$$

where $D(X-Y;m)$ is the real and odd Pauli-Jordan invariant tempered distribution for the massive spinless field, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{i}\,D(X-Y;m) = \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^4K}{(2\pi)^3}\,\exp\{-\,i\,K_\mu X^\mu\}\,\delta(K^2-m^2)\,\mathrm{sgn}(K_0)$$

In the very same way we get

$$i\sum_{\jmath}\,\left[\,\langle \mathrm{x}|u_{\jmath}\rangle\langle u_{\jmath}|\mathrm{y}\rangle - \langle \mathrm{x}|u^*_{\jmath}\rangle\langle u^*_{\jmath}|\mathrm{y}\rangle\,\right] \tag{60}$$

$$= i\sum_{\jmath}\,\left[\,u_{\jmath}(\mathrm{x})u^*_{\jmath}(\mathrm{y}) - u^*_{\jmath}(\mathrm{x})u_{\jmath}(\mathrm{y})\,\right] \tag{61}$$

$$= D(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y};m) \equiv \langle \mathrm{x}|\,\mathbb{D}\,|\mathrm{y}\rangle \tag{62}$$

Now we can expand the normal modes $u_{\jmath}(\mathrm{x})$ of the right Rindler wedge into plane waves with $\mathrm{x}^\mu = \mathrm{x}^\mu(X^\nu)$ according to

$$\langle\,\mathrm{x}(X)\,|\,u_{\jmath}\,\rangle = \sum_{\iota}\,[\,\langle\,\mathrm{x}(X)\,|\,\bar{u}_{\iota}\,\rangle\langle\,\bar{u}_{\iota}\,|\,u_{\jmath}\,\rangle - \langle\,\mathrm{x}(X)\,|\,\bar{u}^*_{\iota}\,\rangle\langle\,\bar{u}^*_{\iota}\,|\,u_{\jmath}\,\rangle\,]$$

that yields

$$u_{\jmath}\,[\mathrm{x}(X)] = \sum_{\iota}\,[\,\alpha_{\iota\jmath}\,\bar{u}_{\iota}(X) - \beta_{\iota\jmath}\,\bar{u}^*_{\iota}(X)\,] \tag{63}$$

$$\alpha_{\iota\jmath} = \langle\,\bar{u}_{\iota}\,|\,u_{\jmath}\,\rangle \qquad\qquad \beta_{\iota\jmath} = \langle\,\bar{u}^*_{\iota}\,|\,u_{\jmath}\,\rangle \tag{64}$$

Conversely, we can expand the plane waves $\bar{u}_{\iota}(X) = \langle X|\bar{u}_{\iota}\rangle$ on the right Rindler wedge basis $u_{\jmath}(\mathrm{x}) = \langle \mathrm{x}|u_{\jmath}\rangle$ with $X^\mu \equiv X^\mu(\mathrm{x}^\nu)$ and get

$$\langle X(\mathrm{x})|\bar{u}_{\iota}\rangle = \sum_{\jmath}\,\left[\,\langle\,X(\mathrm{x})\,|\,u_{\jmath}\,\rangle\langle\,u_{\jmath}\,|\,\bar{u}_{\iota}\,\rangle - \langle\,X(\mathrm{x})\,|\,u^*_{\jmath}\,\rangle\langle\,u^*_{\jmath}\,|\,\bar{u}_{\iota}\,\rangle\,\right]$$

leading to

$$\bar{u}_{\iota}[\,X(\mathrm{x})\,] = \sum_{\jmath}\,[\,\alpha^*_{\iota\jmath}\,u_{\jmath}(\mathrm{x}) + \beta^*_{\iota\jmath}\,u^*_{\jmath}(\mathrm{x})\,] \tag{65}$$

$$\alpha^*_{\iota\jmath} \equiv \langle\,u_{\jmath}\,|\,\bar{u}_{\iota}\,\rangle \qquad \beta^*_{\iota\jmath} = -\,\langle\,u^*_{\jmath}\,|\,\bar{u}_{\iota}\,\rangle \tag{66}$$

Thus we eventually find

$$\bar{u}_{\iota} = \sum_{\jmath}\,[\,\alpha^*_{\iota\jmath}\,u_{\jmath} + \beta^*_{\iota\jmath}\,u^*_{\jmath}\,] \qquad\qquad u_{\jmath} = \sum_{\iota}\,[\,\alpha_{\iota\jmath}\,\bar{u}_{\iota} - \beta_{\iota\jmath}\,\bar{u}^*_{\iota}\,] \tag{67}$$

$$\alpha_{\iota\jmath} = \langle\,\bar{u}_{\iota}\,|\,u_{\jmath}\,\rangle \qquad\qquad \beta_{\iota\jmath} = -\,\langle\,\bar{u}_{\iota}\,|\,u^*_{\jmath}\,\rangle \tag{68}$$

It is worthwhile to remark that, while the definitions of the Bogolyubov coefficients (68) do coincide with those ones quoted in the textbook [7], the transformations (67) definitely differ from the corresponding ones within that textbook, which are not correct. The following identities are useful

$$\alpha_{\iota\jmath} = \langle\, \bar{u}_\iota | u_\jmath \,\rangle = -\langle\, \bar{u}_\iota^* | u_\jmath^* \,\rangle = \langle\, u_\jmath | \bar{u}_\iota \,\rangle^* = -\langle\, u_\jmath^* | \bar{u}_\iota^* \,\rangle^* \tag{69}$$

$$\beta_{\iota\jmath} = -\langle\, \bar{u}_\iota \,|\, u_\jmath^* \,\rangle = \langle\, \bar{u}_\iota^* \,|\, u_\jmath \,\rangle = -\langle\, u_\jmath^* \,|\, \bar{u}_\iota \,\rangle^* = \langle\, u_\jmath \,|\, \bar{u}_\iota^* \,\rangle^* \tag{70}$$

From the above definitions (68) of the Bogolyubov coefficients and taking the completeness relations (56) into account, we can readily obtain the further relationships

$$\sum_\jmath \big[\, \langle\, u_\kappa | \bar{u}_\jmath \,\rangle\langle\, \bar{u}_\jmath | u_\iota \,\rangle - \langle\, u_\kappa | \bar{u}_\jmath^* \,\rangle\langle\, \bar{u}_\jmath^* | u_\iota \,\rangle \,\big] = \sum_\jmath [\, \alpha_{\jmath\kappa}^*\, \alpha_{\jmath\iota} - \beta_{\jmath\kappa}^*\, \beta_{\jmath\iota} \,] = \delta_{\iota\kappa} \tag{71}$$

$$\sum_\jmath \big[\, \langle\, u_\iota | \bar{u}_\jmath \,\rangle\langle \bar{u}_\jmath \,| u_\kappa^* \,\rangle - \langle\, u_\iota \,| \bar{u}_\jmath^* \,\rangle\langle\, \bar{u}_\jmath^* | u_\kappa^* \,\rangle \,\big] = \sum_\jmath \big[-\alpha_{\jmath\iota}^*\, \beta_{\jmath\kappa} + \beta_{\jmath\iota}^*\, \alpha_{\jmath\kappa} \,\big] = 0 \tag{72}$$

$$\sum_\iota \big[\, \langle\, \bar{u}_\jmath^* | u_\iota \,\rangle\langle\, u_\iota \,| \bar{u}_\kappa \,\rangle - \langle\, \bar{u}_\jmath^* \,| u_\iota^* \,\rangle\langle\, u_\iota^* | \bar{u}_\kappa \,\rangle \,\big] = \sum_\iota [\, \beta_{\jmath\iota}\, \alpha_{\kappa\iota}^* - \alpha_{\jmath\iota}\, \beta_{\kappa\iota}^* \,] = 0 \tag{73}$$

$$\sum_\jmath \big[\, \langle\, \bar{u}_\kappa | u_\jmath \,\rangle\langle\, u_\jmath | \bar{u}_\iota \,\rangle - \langle\, \bar{u}_\kappa \,| u_\jmath^* \,\rangle\langle\, u_\jmath^* | \bar{u}_\iota \,\rangle \,\big] = \sum_\jmath \big[\, \alpha_{\kappa\jmath}\, \alpha_{\iota\jmath}^* - \beta_{\kappa\jmath}\, \beta_{\iota\jmath}^* \,\big] = \delta_{\iota\kappa} \tag{74}$$

Then the equality (55) entails the operator transform

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\chi} &= \sum_\iota [\, \bar{a}_\iota\, \bar{u}_\iota + \bar{a}_\iota^\dagger\, \bar{u}_\iota^* \,] \\ &= \sum_\iota \left\{ \bar{a}_\iota \sum_\jmath [\, \alpha_{\iota\jmath}^*\, u_\jmath + \beta_{\iota\jmath}^*\, u_\jmath^* \,] + \bar{a}_\iota^\dagger \sum_\jmath [\, \alpha_{\iota\jmath}\, u_\jmath^* + \beta_{\iota\jmath}\, u_\jmath \,] \right\} \\ &= \sum_\jmath [\, a_\jmath\, u_\jmath + a_\jmath^\dagger\, u_\jmath^* \,] \end{aligned} \tag{75}$$

that yields

$$a_\jmath = \sum_\iota [\, \alpha_{\iota\jmath}^*\, \bar{a}_\iota + \beta_{\iota\jmath}\, \bar{a}_\iota^\dagger \,] \qquad\qquad a_\jmath^\dagger = \sum_\iota [\, \alpha_{\iota\jmath}\, \bar{a}_\iota^\dagger + \beta_{\iota\jmath}^*\, \bar{a}_\iota \,] \tag{76}$$

In a quite similar way we find

$$\begin{aligned} \chi &= \sum_\jmath [\, a_\jmath\, u_\jmath + a_\jmath^\dagger\, u_\jmath^* \,] \\ &= \sum_\jmath \left\{ a_\jmath \sum_\iota [\, \alpha_{\iota\jmath}\, \bar{u}_\iota - \beta_{\iota\jmath}\, \bar{u}_\iota^* \,] + a_\jmath^\dagger \sum_\iota [\, \alpha_{\iota\jmath}^*\, \bar{u}_\iota^* - \beta_{\iota\jmath}^*\, \bar{u}_\iota \,] \right\} \\ &= \sum_\iota [\, \bar{a}_\iota\, \bar{u}_\iota + \bar{a}_\iota^\dagger\, \bar{u}_\iota^* \,] \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

whence we obtain the inversion formaulæ

$$\bar{a}_\iota = \sum_\jmath \big[\, a_\jmath\, \alpha_{\iota\jmath} - \beta_{\iota\jmath}^*\, a_\jmath^\dagger \,\big] \qquad\qquad \bar{a}_\kappa^\dagger = \sum_\ell \Big[\, a_\ell^\dagger\, \alpha_{\kappa\ell}^* - \beta_{\kappa\ell}\, a_\ell \,\Big] \tag{78}$$

Let us check the canonical commutation relations: we find

$$[\, \bar{a}_\iota\, ,\, \bar{a}_\kappa^\dagger \,] = \sum_\jmath \sum_\ell \Big[\, a_\jmath\, \alpha_{\iota\jmath} - \beta_{\iota\jmath}^*\, a_\jmath^\dagger \,,\, a_\ell^\dagger\, \alpha_{\kappa\ell}^* - \beta_{\kappa\ell}\, a_\ell \,\Big]$$

$$= \sum_{\jmath} \Big(\alpha_{\iota\jmath}\, \alpha^{*}_{\kappa\jmath} - \beta^{*}_{\iota\jmath}\, \beta_{\kappa\jmath} \Big) = \delta_{\iota\kappa}$$

$$\Big[a_{\jmath}\, ,\, a^{\dagger}_{\ell} \Big] = \sum_{\iota} \sum_{\kappa} [\, \alpha^{*}_{\iota\jmath}\, \bar{a}_{\iota} + \beta_{\iota\jmath}\, \bar{a}^{\dagger}_{\iota}\, ,\, \alpha_{\kappa\ell}\, \bar{a}^{\dagger}_{\kappa} + \beta^{*}_{\kappa\ell}\, \bar{a}_{\kappa}\,]$$

$$= \sum_{\iota} \Big(\alpha^{*}_{\iota\jmath}\, \alpha_{\iota\ell} - \beta_{\iota\jmath}\, \beta^{*}_{\iota\ell} \Big) = \delta_{\jmath\ell}$$

all the other ones being equal to zero and in accordance with the previously obtained relationships (72) and (73). Now we specialize the indices and wave functions and suitably rewrite their explicit form in physical units: we get

$$u_{\jmath}(\mathrm{x}) = u_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(t, x, \mathbf{x}_{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}}\, \sqrt{\mathrm{a}^{-1} \sinh{(\pi\nu)}}\, K_{i\nu}(\kappa x)\ \exp\{ -i\, \mathrm{a}\nu t + i\, \mathbf{k}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \} \tag{79}$$

$$x > 0 \qquad \kappa = \sqrt{k_{\perp}^{2} + m^{2}} \qquad \jmath = \boldsymbol{\nu} \equiv (cE/\hbar\mathrm{a}, \mathbf{k}_{\perp})$$

$$\bar{u}_{\iota}(X) = \bar{u}_{\mathbf{K}}(\tau, \mathbf{X}) = \sqrt{c}\, [\, (2\pi)^{3}\, 2\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,]^{-1/2}\ \exp\{ -i\, \tau\, \omega_{\mathbf{K}} + i\, \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{X} \} \tag{80}$$

$$K_{0} = \omega_{\mathbf{K}}/c = \sqrt{\mathbf{K}^{2} + (mc/\hbar)^{2}} \qquad \iota = \mathbf{K} = (K, \mathbf{K}_{\perp})$$

Then we have the orthonormality relations

$$\langle\, u_{\iota}\, |\, u_{\kappa}\, \rangle = \delta_{\iota\kappa} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \langle\, u_{\boldsymbol{\mu}}\, |\, u_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \rangle = \delta(\boldsymbol{\mu} - \boldsymbol{\nu})\, c^{2}/\mathrm{a}$$

$$\langle\, \bar{u}_{\jmath}\, |\, \bar{u}_{\ell}\, \rangle = \delta_{\jmath\ell} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \langle\, \bar{u}_{\mathbf{K}}\, |\, \bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}\, \rangle = \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{P})$$

and the completeness or closure relations

$$\sum_{\mathbf{K}} [\, \bar{u}_{\mathbf{K}}(X)\, \bar{u}^{*}_{\mathbf{K}}(Y) - \bar{u}^{*}_{\mathbf{K}}(X)\, \bar{u}_{\mathbf{K}}(Y)\,] = \frac{1}{i}\, D(X - Y; m)$$

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} [\, u_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathrm{x})\, u^{*}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathrm{y}) - u^{*}_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathrm{x})\, u_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathrm{y})\,] = \frac{1}{i}\, D(\mathrm{x} - \mathrm{y}; m)$$

$$\sum_{\mathbf{K}} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}K \int \mathrm{d}^{2}K_{\perp} \qquad \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \frac{\mathrm{a}}{c^{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}\nu \int \mathrm{d}^{2}k_{\perp}$$

3.1. The Bogolyubov Coefficients for the Neutral Spinless Field

We shall now rederive [8] the exact structure of the coefficients of the Bogolyubov transformations [6] for a neutral, spinless and massive field, leading to the celebrated Unruh effect [4]. We have two complete orthonormal sets of solutions of the invariant Klein-Gordon equation in the right Rindler wedge and in the Rindler curvilinear coordinate system: namely,

$$u_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}(\mathrm{x}) = \frac{1}{2\pi^{2}}\, \sqrt{\mathrm{a}^{-1} \sinh{(\pi\nu)}}\, K_{i\nu}(\kappa x)\ \exp\{ -i\, \mathrm{a}\nu t + i\, \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \}$$

$$\bar{u}_{\mathbf{K}}(\mathrm{x}) = [\, (2\pi)^{3}\, 2\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,]^{-\frac{1}{2}}\ \exp\{ i\, \Bbbk_{t}\, x + i\, \mathbf{K}_{\perp} \cdot \mathbf{x}_{\perp} \}$$

$$\Bbbk_{t} \equiv K \cosh(\mathrm{a}t) - \omega_{\mathbf{K}} \sinh(\mathrm{a}t) \qquad [\, x > 0\, ,\, \nu \in \mathbb{R}\,]$$

Then a direct substitution into the invariant inner product (218) yields for $E = \mathrm{a}\hbar\nu/c$

$$(\, \bar{u}_{\mathbf{K}}\, ,\, u_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\,) = -\, \delta(\mathbf{K}_{\perp} - \mathbf{k})\ \exp\{ -\, iEt \}\, \sqrt{\frac{2 \sinh(\pi\nu)}{(2\pi\mathrm{a})^{3}\, \omega_{\mathbf{K}}}}$$

$$\times \int_{0^+}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}\,\exp\{-\,i\dot{\Bbbk}_t x\}\,K_{iE/\mathrm{a}}(\kappa x)\,\left(E - x\dot{\Bbbk}_t\right) \tag{81}$$

where $\dot{\Bbbk}_t = \mathrm{a}K\sinh(\mathrm{a}t) - \mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\cosh(\mathrm{a}t)$. However, since the invariant inner product is coordinate time independent by construction, in accordance with the textbook notations [7] we can safely write

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}} \equiv (\,\bar{u}_{\mathbf{K}}\,,\,u_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\,) = -\,\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_\perp)\sqrt{\frac{2\sinh(\pi\nu)}{(2\pi)^3\,\mathrm{a}\omega_{\mathbf{K}}}}\int_{0^+}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}\;\mathrm{e}^{-\,iKx}\,(\,\nu + x\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,)\,K_{i\nu}(\kappa x)$$

$$\beta_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}} \equiv (\,\bar{u}^{*}_{\mathbf{K}}\,,\,u_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\,) = -\,\delta(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}_\perp)\sqrt{\frac{2\sinh(\pi\nu)}{(2\pi)^3\,\mathrm{a}\omega_{\mathbf{K}}}}\int_{0^+}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}x}{x}\;\mathrm{e}^{iKx}\,(\,\nu - x\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,)\,K_{i\nu}(\kappa x)$$

Now we turn to the calculation of the Bogolyubov coefficients in terms of elementary functions and a suitable one parameter representation. From [12] we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}} \;&= -\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,[\,\pi\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,\sinh(\pi\nu)\,]^{-1/2}\;\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_\perp)\left(\frac{2\kappa}{\kappa+iK}\right)^{i\nu}\;\times\\ &\left\{F\left(i\nu, i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2};\tfrac{1}{2};\frac{iK-\kappa}{\kappa+iK}\right)+\frac{2\nu}{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}}(\kappa-iK)\,F\left(1+i\nu, i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2};\tfrac{3}{2};\frac{iK-\kappa}{iK+\kappa}\right)\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}} \;&= -\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,[\,\pi\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,\sinh(\pi\nu)\,]^{-1/2}\;\delta(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}_\perp)\left(\frac{2\kappa}{\kappa-iK}\right)^{i\nu}\;\times\\ &\left\{F\left(i\nu, i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2};\tfrac{1}{2};\frac{iK+\kappa}{iK-\kappa}\right)-\frac{2\nu}{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}}(\kappa+iK)\,F\left(1+i\nu, i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2};\tfrac{3}{2};\frac{iK+\kappa}{iK-\kappa}\right)\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

where ${}_2F_1(a,b;c;z) = F(a,b;c;z)$ denotes the hypergeometric function. If we suitably introduce the one angular parameter representation according to

$$\begin{aligned}&\kappa + iK = \omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,\mathrm{e}^{i\theta_{\mathbf{K}}} \qquad\qquad \theta_{\mathbf{K}} \equiv \mathrm{arctg}\frac{K}{\sqrt{(K_\perp^2+m^2)}}\\ &\frac{iK+\kappa}{iK-\kappa} \equiv\; (-1)\,\mathrm{e}^{2i\theta} = \left(i\,\mathrm{e}^{i\theta}\right)^2 \qquad\qquad\qquad \left(-\tfrac{\pi}{2}<\theta<\tfrac{\pi}{2}\right)\end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

by making use of the the formulæ

$$\Gamma(x)\Gamma\left(x+\tfrac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{2\sqrt{\pi}}{2^{2x}}\,\Gamma(2x) \qquad (2k)! = \left(\tfrac{1}{2}\right)_k\;k!\,2^{2k} \qquad (2k+1)! = \left(\tfrac{3}{2}\right)_k\;k!\,2^{2k}$$

it is straightforward to get

$$F\left(i\nu, i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2};\tfrac{1}{2};-\,\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,2i\theta}\right) = \sum_{k\,=\,0}^{\infty}\frac{(\,2i\nu\,)_{2k}}{(2k)!}\;\left(i\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}\right)^{2k} \tag{85}$$

$$-\,2\nu\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}F\left(1+i\nu, i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2};\tfrac{3}{2};-\,\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,2i\theta}\right) = \sum_{k\,=\,0}^{\infty}\frac{(\,2i\nu\,)_{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}\;\left(i\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}\right)^{2k+1} \tag{86}$$

`Proof.`

$$F\left(i\nu, i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2};\tfrac{1}{2};-\,\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,2i\theta}\right) = \sum_{k\,=\,0}^{\infty}\frac{(\,i\nu\,)_k\;(\,i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2}\,)_k}{k!\;(\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,)_k}\;\left(i\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}\right)^{2k}$$

$$=\sqrt{\pi}\,\sum_{k\,=\,0}^{\infty}\frac{\Gamma\,(\,k+i\nu\,)\;\Gamma\left(\,k+i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2}\,\right)}{k!\,\Gamma(i\nu)\,\Gamma\left(i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2}\right)\;\Gamma\left(\,k+\tfrac{1}{2}\,\right)}\;\left(i\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}\right)^{2k} = \sum_{k\,=\,0}^{\infty}\frac{(\,2i\nu\,)_{2k}}{(2k)!}\;\left(i\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}\right)^{2k}$$

$$F\left(1+i\nu, i\nu+\tfrac{1}{2}; \tfrac{3}{2}; -\,\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,2i\theta}\right) = \sum_{k\,=\,0}^{\infty} \frac{(\,1+i\nu\,)_k\,\left(\,i\nu+\frac{1}{2}\,\right)_k}{k!\,\left(\,\frac{3}{2}\,\right)_k}\,\left(i\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}\right)^{2k}$$

$$= \sum_{k\,=\,0}^{\infty} \frac{k+i\nu}{i\nu} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\,(\,k+i\nu\,)\;\Gamma\left(\,k+i\nu+\frac{1}{2}\,\right)}{k!\,\Gamma(i\nu)\,\Gamma\left(i\nu+\frac{1}{2}\right)\,\left(\,\frac{3}{2}\,\right)_k}\,\left(i\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}\right)^{2k}$$

$$= \sum_{k\,=\,0}^{\infty} \frac{(k+i\nu)\Gamma\,(\,2k+2i\nu\,)}{i\nu\,k!\,\Gamma(2i\nu)\,2^{2k}\,\left(\,\frac{3}{2}\,\right)_k}\,\left(i\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}\right)^{2k} = (-1)\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mp\,i\theta}}{2\nu}\sum_{k\,=\,0}^{\infty}\frac{(\,2i\nu\,)_{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}\,\left(i\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,i\theta}\right)^{2k+1}$$

Thus we are able to obtain exactly the sum of the above series in terms of elementary functions. Consider in fact the identity

$$\begin{aligned} F(a,b;b;z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_k}{k!}\,z^k = (1-z)^{-a} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{2k}}{(2k)!}\,z^{2k} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{2k+1}}{(2k+1)!}\,z^{2k+1} \end{aligned} \tag{87}$$

whence we can readily derive

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{2k}}{(2k)!}\,z^{2k} = \tfrac{1}{2}\Big\{(1-z)^{-a} + (1+z)^{-a}\Big\} \tag{88}$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{(a)_{2k-1}}{(2k-1)!}\,z^{2k-1} = \tfrac{1}{2}\Big\{(1-z)^{-a} - (1+z)^{-a}\Big\} \tag{89}$$

As a consequence, for $a = 2i\nu$ and $z = (i\kappa \mp K)/\omega_{\mathbf{K}}$, we eventually succeed in extracting the exact Bogolyubov coefficients for a spinless and chargeless massive field, relating an inertial and an accelerated observers, viz.,

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \frac{-\,\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_\perp)}{\sqrt{4\pi\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,\sinh(\pi\nu)}}\left\{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}+K+i\kappa}\,\sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\kappa+iK}}\,\right\}^{2i\nu} \tag{90}$$

$$= -\;\delta(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{K}_\perp)\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\pi\nu/2}}{\sqrt{4\pi\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,\sinh(\pi\nu)}}\,\left(\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}-K}{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}+K}\right)^{i\nu/2} \tag{91}$$

$$\beta_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}} = \frac{-\,\delta(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}_\perp)}{\sqrt{4\pi\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,\sinh(\pi\nu)}}\left\{\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}}{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}+K-i\kappa}\,\sqrt{\frac{2\kappa}{\kappa-iK}}\,\right\}^{2i\nu} \tag{92}$$

$$= -\;\delta(\mathbf{k}+\mathbf{K}_\perp)\,\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-\,\pi\nu/2}}{\sqrt{4\pi\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,\sinh(\pi\nu)}}\,\left(\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}-K}{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}+K}\right)^{i\nu/2} \tag{93}$$

which are in full agreement, up to the Dirac $\delta-$distribution in the tranverse wave vectors, with eq.s (2.107) and (2.108) of the review paper [5]. It follows that, keeping apart the classical volume factors

$$\Omega_{\mathbf{K}}^{(\pm)} = \delta(\,\mathbf{k}\pm\mathbf{K}_\perp\,)\,\sqrt{\frac{c^3}{2\pi\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{K}}}}$$

we can understand the square modulus of the complex dimensionless quantities

$$\frac{-\,\mathrm{e}^{\pm\,\pi\nu/2}}{\sqrt{2\sinh(\pi\nu)}}\,\left(\frac{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}+K}{\omega_{\mathbf{K}}-K}\right)^{-i\nu/2} \tag{94}$$

as average occupation numbers. In fact one eventually gets

$$\mathrm{N}_\nu \equiv \frac{e^{2\pi\nu}}{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi\nu}-1} \qquad \bar{\mathrm{N}}_\nu \equiv \frac{1}{\mathrm{e}^{2\pi\nu}-1} \tag{95}$$

and if we definitely understand as it is customary $2\pi\nu = \beta E$, where $\beta = (2\pi c/\hbar \mathrm{a})$ so that $T = (\hbar \mathrm{a}/2\pi c k_\mathrm{B})$ is the famous Unruh temperature [4], k_B being the Boltzmann constant, we eventually recover the thermal bath which is experienced by the accelerated observer in respect to the inertial one or *vice versa.* From the knowledge of the Bogolyubov coefficients one can immediately obtain the transformation law for the creation and destruction operators

$$\bar{a}_\mathbf{K} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \left[a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \alpha_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}} - \beta^*_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, a^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \right] \qquad \bar{a}^\dagger_\mathbf{K} = \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \left[a^\dagger_{\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \alpha^*_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}} - \beta_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, a_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \right] \tag{96}$$

The above derivation, that concerns the spinless neutral field in the right Rindler wedge, can be trivially extended to the left Rindler wedge. Instead, our direct method of evaluation for the Bogolyubov coefficients can be successfully generalized *mutatis mutandis* to fields of higher spin and any charge.

To be complete it is worthwhile to ckeck explicitly the relations (71)-(74). Consider for example

$$\sum_\jmath \left[\langle\, \bar{u}_\iota | u_\jmath \rangle \langle\, u_\jmath | \bar{u}_\kappa \,\rangle - \langle\, \bar{u}_\iota | u^*_\jmath \,\rangle \langle\, u^*_\jmath | \bar{u}_\kappa \,\rangle \right] = \sum_\jmath \left[\alpha_{\iota\jmath}\, \alpha^*_{\kappa\jmath} - \beta_{\iota\jmath}\, \beta^*_{\kappa\jmath} \right] = \delta_{\iota\kappa}$$

that yields in the present case

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \left[\alpha_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \alpha^*_{\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\nu}} - \beta_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \beta^*_{\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\nu}} \right] = \delta_{\iota\kappa}$$

It is convenient to suitably introduce the antisymmetric function

$$\Upsilon(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{P}) = \frac{1}{2\pi}\left(\ln\frac{\omega_\mathbf{K} - K}{\omega_\mathbf{K} + K} + \ln\frac{\omega_\mathbf{P} + P}{\omega_\mathbf{P} - P} \right) = -\,\Upsilon(\mathbf{P},\mathbf{K}) \tag{97}$$

that vanishes for $K = P$ when $\mathbf{K}_\perp = \mathbf{P}_\perp$. Then we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \left[\alpha_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \alpha^*_{\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\nu}} - \beta_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \beta^*_{\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\nu}} \right] &= \frac{\delta(\mathbf{K}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_\perp)}{2\pi^2 \sqrt{(\omega_\mathbf{K}\, \omega_\mathbf{P})}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\,\mathrm{i}\,\Upsilon x}\, \mathrm{d}x \\ &= \frac{\delta(\mathbf{K}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_\perp)}{\pi \sqrt{(\omega_\mathbf{K}\, \omega_\mathbf{P})}}\, \delta\left(\Upsilon(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{P})\right) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover one can easily verify that

$$\Upsilon(\mathbf{K},\mathbf{P})\, \delta(\mathbf{K}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_\perp) = \delta(\mathbf{K}_\perp - \mathbf{P}_\perp) \left\{ \frac{P-K}{\pi\, \omega_\mathbf{K}} + O(K-P)^2 \right\}$$

in such a manner that we can eventually write

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{\nu}} \left[\alpha_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \alpha^*_{\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\nu}} - \beta_{\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\nu}}\, \beta^*_{\mathbf{P}\boldsymbol{\nu}} \right] = \delta(\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{P}) \tag{98}$$

as expected, where use has been made of the well known relation

$$\delta\left(f(x)\right) = \sum_n \frac{\delta(x - x_n)}{|f'(x_n)|} \qquad f(x_n) = 0$$

In a quite similar way one can prove all the orthonormality and closure relations (71)-(74) satisfied by the Bogolyubov coefficients.

4. The Massive Majorana Spinor Field in Minkowski Spacetime

One can write a relativistically invariant field equation for a massive left or right two component Weyl spinor wave field ψ_L that transforms according to the rule

$$\psi'_L(x') = \Lambda_L\,\psi_L(x) \qquad \psi'_R(x') = \Lambda_R\,\psi_R(x) \tag{99}$$

where

$$\psi_L(x) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \chi_1(x) \\ \chi_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Lambda_L = \exp\left\{\tfrac{1}{2}\,i\,\sigma_k(\alpha_k - i\eta_k)\right\} \tag{100}$$

$$\psi_R(x) \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1(x) \\ \varphi_2(x) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Lambda_R = \exp\left\{\tfrac{1}{2}\,i\,\sigma_k(\alpha_k + i\eta_k)\right\} \tag{101}$$

σ_k $(k = 1,2,3)$ being the Pauli matrices while $\{\alpha_k, \eta_k \,|\, k = 1,2,3\}$ the canonical angular and rapidity coordinates for the Lorentz group. Let us consider the Weyl spinor wave field as a classical anticommuting field, i.e. a Grassmann valued spinor field function over the Minkowski spacetime which satisfies

$$\{\,\chi_a(x)\,,\,\chi_b(y)\,\} = \{\,\chi_a(x)\,,\,\varphi_b(y)\,\} = \{\,\varphi_a(x)\,,\,\varphi_b(y)\,\} = 0$$

$$\forall\, x, y \in \mathcal{M} \qquad a, b = 1, 2$$

together with the complex conjugation rule

$$(\chi_1\chi_2)^* = \chi_2^*\chi_1^* = -\,\chi_1^*\chi_2^*$$

and so on, to imitate the hermitean conjugation of quantum fields. The Lagrange density can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_L = \chi^{\dagger}(x)\,\sigma^{\mu}\,i\,\partial_{\mu}\chi(x) + \tfrac{1}{2}\,m\left[\,\chi^{\top}(x)\,\sigma_2\,\chi(x) + \chi^{\dagger}(x)\,\sigma_2\,\chi^*(x)\,\right]$$

where $\sigma^{\mu} = (\,\mathbf{1}\,,\,-\,\sigma_k\,)$. If we treat $\chi_a(x)$ $(a = 1,2)$ and $\chi^*_a(x)$ $(a = 1,2)$ as independent field variables, then the Euler-Lagrange field equations yield

$$i\,\sigma^{\mu}\,\partial_{\mu}\chi(x) \;+\; m\,\sigma_2\,\chi^*(x) \;=\; 0 \tag{102}$$

which is the Majorana field equation for the Weyl left spinor field with a Majorana mass term. Multiplication to the left by σ_2 and taking complex conjugation gives

$$i\,\sigma_2\,\partial_0\,\chi^* - \,i\,\sigma_2\,\sigma_k^*\,\partial_k\,\chi^* + m\,\chi = 0 \tag{103}$$

Remembering that $\sigma_2\,\sigma_k^*\,\sigma_2 = -\,\sigma_k$ and that $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu} = (\,\mathbf{1}\,,\,\sigma_k\,)$ we come to the equivalent form of the Majorana left equation, i.e.

$$i\,\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\,\sigma_2\,\partial_{\mu}\chi^*(x) + m\,\chi(x) = 0 \tag{104}$$

Now, if act from the left with the operator $i\,\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\,\partial_{\nu}$ to eq. (102) and use eq. (104) we obtain

$$\bar{\sigma}^{\nu}\sigma^{\mu}\,\partial_{\nu}\partial_{\mu}\chi(x) + m^2\,\chi(x) = (\,\Box + m^2\,)\,\chi(x) = 0 \tag{105}$$

so that the left handed Weyl massive spinor satisfies the Klein-Gordon wave equation. As it is well known, we can introduce the Majorana left handed self-conjugated bispinor

$$\chi_L(x) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \chi(x) \\ -\sigma_2\,\chi^*(x) \end{array} \right) = \chi_L^c(x) \tag{106}$$

with the Lagrange density

$$\mathcal{L}_L = \tfrac{1}{4}\,\bar{\chi}_L(x)\, i\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\not\partial}\,\chi_L(x) \;-\; \tfrac{1}{2}\,m\,\bar{\chi}_L(x)\,\chi_L(x) \tag{107}$$

in such a manner that the Majorana mass term can be written in the two equivalent forms

$$\mathcal{L}_L^m = \tfrac{1}{2}\,m\left[\,\chi^\top(x)\,\sigma_2\,\chi(x) + \chi^\dagger(x)\,\sigma_2\,\chi^*(x)\,\right] = -\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,m\bar{\chi}_L(x)\,\chi_L(x) \tag{108}$$

so that it is clear that the Majorana's Action $\int \mathrm{d}^4x\,\mathcal{L}_L$ is no longer invariant under the phase transformation

$$\chi_L(x) \;\longmapsto\; \chi_L^\prime(x) = \chi_L(x)\,\mathrm{e}^{\,i\alpha} \tag{109}$$

Taking the Grassmann valued Majorana left handed bispinor wave field $\chi_L(x)$ to be defined by the selfconjugation constraint (106) it is easy to see that the pair of coupled Weyl equations (102) and (104) is equivalent to the single bispinor equation

$$(\alpha^\mu\, i\partial_\mu - \beta\, m)\;\chi_L(x) = 0 \tag{110}$$

in which

$$\alpha^\mu = \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma^\mu & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}^\mu \end{array} \right) \qquad \beta = \gamma^0 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & \mathbf{1} \\ \mathbf{1} & 0 \end{array} \right) \qquad \gamma^\mu = \beta\,\alpha^\mu \tag{111}$$

while the Majorana left lagrangian then becomes

$$\mathcal{L}_L = \frac{1}{4}\;\chi_L^\dagger(x)\,\alpha^\mu\, i\,\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\mu \chi_L(x) - \frac{m}{2}\;\chi_L^\dagger(x)\,\beta\,\chi_L(x) \tag{112}$$

It is immediate to verify that the bispinor form (110) of the field equations does coincide with the two equivalent forms (102) and (104) of the Majorana wave field equation : namely,

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} i\,\sigma^\mu\,\partial_\mu\,\chi(x) + m\,\sigma_2\,\chi^*(x) & = & 0 \\ i\,\bar{\sigma}^\mu\,\sigma_2\,\partial_\mu\,\chi^*(x) + m\,\chi(x) & = & 0 \end{array} \right. \tag{113}$$

Since the Majorana left handed spinor wave field $\chi_L(x)$ has the constraint (106) which relates the lower two components to the complex conjugate of the upper two components, a representation must exist which makes the Majorana spinor wave field real, with the previous two independent complex variables $\chi_a \in \mathbb{C}$ $(a = 1, 2)$ replaced by the four real variables $\psi_{M,\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ $(\alpha = 1, 2, 3, 4)$. To obtain this real representation, it is worthwhile to remark that

$$\chi_L = \left(\begin{array}{c} \chi \\ -\,\sigma_2\,\chi^* \end{array} \right) \qquad \chi_L^* = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & -\,\sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{array} \right)\,\chi_L \tag{114}$$

in such a manner that a transformation to real bispinor fields $\psi_M = \psi_M^*$ can be made by writing

$$\chi_L = S\,\psi_M \qquad\qquad \chi_L^* = S^*\,\psi_M = S^*\,S^{-1}\chi_L \tag{115}$$

whence

$$S^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} S \tag{116}$$

Now, if we set

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_2 \\ \sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \equiv i\,\rho_2 \qquad \rho_2^2 = \mathbb{I} \tag{117}$$

so that

$$\exp\{i\,\rho_2\,\theta\} = \mathbb{I}\,\cos\theta + i\,\rho_2\,\sin\theta \tag{118}$$

then the solution for the above relation (116) is the unitary matrix

$$S = \exp\{-\,\pi\,i\,\rho_2\,/\,4\} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\mathbb{I} - i\,\rho_2\right) \equiv U^{\,\dagger} \tag{119}$$

which fulfills

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \sigma_2 \\ -\,\sigma_2 & \mathbf{1} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\left(\mathbb{I} + \gamma^2\right) \tag{120}$$

or even more explicitly

$$S = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & -i \\ 0 & 1 & i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 1 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{121}$$

It follows that we can suitably make use of the so called *Majorana representation for the gamma matrices* which is given by the similarity and unitary transformation acting upon the gamma matrices in the Weyl representation, viz.,

$$\gamma_M^{\mu} \equiv\ S^{\dagger}\,\gamma^{\mu}\,S \tag{122}$$

and thereby

$$\gamma_M^0 = \begin{pmatrix} -\,\sigma_2 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -\,i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\,i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_M^1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\,i\sigma_3 & 0 \\ 0 & -\,i\sigma_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\,i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\,i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_M^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sigma_2 \\ -\,\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\,i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -\,i & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_M^3 = \begin{pmatrix} i\sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & i\sigma_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\gamma_M^5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\sigma_2 \\ -\sigma_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 & 0 \\ -i & 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which satisfy by direct inspection

$$\{\gamma_M^\mu\,,\,\gamma_M^\nu\} = 2g^{\mu\nu} \qquad \{\gamma_M^\nu\,,\,\gamma_M^5\} = 0$$

$$\gamma_M^0 = \gamma_M^{0\,\dagger} \qquad \gamma_M^k = -\,\gamma_M^{k\,\dagger} \qquad \gamma_M^5 = \gamma_M^{5\,\dagger}$$

$$\gamma_M^\nu \;=\; -\,\gamma_M^{\nu\,*} \qquad \gamma_M^5 \;=\; -\,\gamma_M^{5\,*}$$

Then, we can now turn from a complex – but charge self-conjugated – left handed massive bispinor wave field $\chi_L(x)$, which is fully equivalent to a left handed Weyl massive spinor $\chi(x)$, to a real massive Majorana bispinor $\psi_M(x)$, the Majorana lagrangian and wave field equation of which take the form

$$\mathcal{L}_M = \tfrac{1}{4}\,\psi_M^\top(x)\,\alpha_M^\nu\,i\overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\nu\,\psi_M(x) - \tfrac{1}{2}\,m\,\psi_M^\top(x)\,\beta_M\,\psi_M(x) \tag{123}$$

$$(i\,\partial\!\!\!/_M - m)\,\psi_M(x) = 0 \qquad\qquad \psi_M(x) = \psi_M^*(x) \tag{124}$$

$$\alpha_M^\nu = \gamma_M^0\gamma_M^\nu \qquad \alpha_M^0 = \mathbb{I} \qquad \beta_M \equiv \gamma_M^0 \tag{125}$$

The only relic internal symmetry of the Majorana action is the discrete $\mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, i.e. $\psi_M(x) \longmapsto -\,\psi_M(x)$ and the Majorana Hamiltonian reads

$$H_M = \alpha_M^k\,\hat{p}^k + m\,\beta_M \qquad\qquad (\,\hat{p}^k = -\,i\,\partial_k\,) \tag{126}$$

in such a manner that the above Majorana field equation (124) can be rewritten *à la* Schrödinger

$$\mathrm{i}\hbar\partial_t\,\psi_M(t,\mathbf{x}) = H_M\,\psi_M(t,\mathbf{x}) \qquad\qquad \psi_M(t,\mathbf{x}) = \psi_M^*(t,\mathbf{x}) \tag{127}$$

Of course, a quite analogous construction can be made, had we started from a right handed Weyl spinor.

4.1. Self-Adjoint Majorana Free Quantum Field

The transition to the quantum theory is performed as usual by the introduction of the creation annihilation operators $a_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}$ and $a^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,s}$ which satisfy the canonical anticommutation relations

$$\{a_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,,\,a_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\} = \{a^\dagger_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,,\,a^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\} = 0 \tag{128}$$

$$\{a_{\mathbf{p}\,,\,r}\,,\,a^\dagger_{\mathbf{q}\,,\,s}\} \;=\; \delta_{rs}\,\delta\,(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}) \qquad\qquad \mathbf{p}\,,\mathbf{q}\in\mathbb{R}^3\,,\; r\,,\,s=\uparrow\downarrow \tag{129}$$

so that

$$\psi_M(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{p},r} \left[a_{\mathbf{p},r}\, u_{\mathbf{p},r}(x) + a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{p},r}\, u^{*}_{\mathbf{p},r}(x) \right] = \psi^{\dagger}_M(x) \tag{130}$$

in which the Majorana bispinor normal modes $u_{\mathbf{p},r}(x)$ are given by

$$u_{\mathbf{p},r}(x) \equiv [\,(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}\,]^{-1/2}\, u_r(p)\, \exp\{-i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}\, t + i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}\} \tag{131}$$

where the spin states $u_r(p)$ will be specified here below, while we have set for short

$$\sum_{\mathbf{p},r} \equiv \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p} \sum_{r=\uparrow,\downarrow}$$

where the hermitean conjugation refers only to the creation destruction operators acting on the Fock space, whereas the spin states of a massive Majorana left handed spinor are suitably defined as follows. Consider the rest frame $\mathbf{p} = 0$ for the neutral selfconjugated spin $\frac{1}{2}$ particle with positive energy $p_0 = m$. As the Majorana Hamiltonian in the particle rest frame becomes $H_M = m\beta_M$ there are two degenerate bispinors of positive energy, viz.,

$$\xi_{\uparrow} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -i \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \xi_{\downarrow} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix}$$

which satisfy

$$H_M\, \xi_r = m\, \xi_r \qquad (\, r\ = \uparrow, \downarrow\ \vee \mathbf{p} = 0\,)$$

Then we can safely define the spin stetes for any inertial observer

$$\left.\begin{array}{l} u_r(p) \equiv 2m\,(2\omega_{\mathbf{p}} + 2m)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \mathcal{E}^{+}_M(p)\, \xi_r \\ u^{*}_r(p) \equiv 2m\,(2\omega_{\mathbf{p}} + 2m)^{-\frac{1}{2}}\, \mathcal{E}^{-}_M(p)\, \xi^{*}_r \end{array}\right\} \qquad (\, r\ = \uparrow, \downarrow\ \vee p_0 = \omega_{\mathbf{p}}\,) \tag{132}$$

the spin states $u_r(p)$ $(r = \uparrow, \downarrow)$ being two eigenstates of the positive energy projector

$$\mathcal{E}^{+}_M(p)\, u_r(p) = u_r(p) \qquad (\, r\ = \uparrow, \downarrow\ \vee\ p_0 = \omega_{\mathbf{p}}\,)$$

with

$$\mathcal{E}^{\pm}_M(p) = (\,m \pm \gamma^{\mu}_M\, p_{\mu})\ /\, 2m \qquad (\,p_0 = \omega_{\mathbf{p}}\,) \tag{133}$$

$$\bar{u}_r(p)\, u_s(p) = 2m\, \delta_{rs} \qquad (r, s\ = \uparrow, \downarrow)$$

$$\sum_{r=\uparrow,\downarrow} u_r(p) \otimes \bar{u}_r(p) = m + \gamma^{\mu}_M\, p_{\mu} \qquad \sum_{r=\uparrow,\downarrow} u^{*}_r(p) \otimes \bar{u}^{*}_r(p) = m - \gamma^{\mu}_M\, p_{\mu}$$

Furthermore, we have the expansion for the adjoint of the self-conjugated Majorana bispinor

$$\bar{\psi}_M(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{p},r} \left[a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{p},r}\, \bar{u}_{\mathbf{p},r}(x) + a_{\mathbf{p},r}\, \bar{u}^{*}_{\mathbf{p},r}(x) \right] = \bar{\psi}^{\dagger}_M(x) \tag{134}$$

in which

$$\bar{u}_{\mathbf{p},r}(x) \equiv [\,(2\pi)^3 2\omega_{\mathbf{p}}\,]^{-1/2}\, [\,u^{\top}_r(p)\,]^{*}\, \beta_M\, \exp\{\,i\omega_{\mathbf{p}}\, t - i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}\} \tag{135}$$

Notice that from the normalization

$$(\, u_{\mathbf{p},r}\,,\, u_{\mathbf{q},s}\,) = \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\; \bar{u}_{\mathbf{p},r}(x)\, \beta_M\, u_{\mathbf{q},s}(x) = \delta_{rs}\; \delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}) \tag{136}$$

we can readily obtain the normal modes expansions of the observables involving the Majorana massive spinor field. For example the energy momentum four vector takes the form

$$\begin{aligned} P_\mu &= \frac{i}{2}\int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{x}\;\; :\bar{\psi}_M(x)\, \beta_M\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_\mu\, \psi_M(x): \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{p},r} p_\mu\, a^\dagger_{\mathbf{p},r}\, a_{\mathbf{p},r} \qquad (\, p_0 = \omega_{\mathbf{p}}\,) \end{aligned} \tag{137}$$

Moreover, for example, if $\partial_1\psi_M = \partial_3\psi_M = 0$ that implies $\psi_M(t,y) = \psi_M\,(t,0,y,0)\,$, then we obtain for the energy momentum tensor

$$2\mathrm{i}\, T^{13}(t,y) = \bar{\psi}_M(t,y)\, \gamma^1_M\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_z\, \psi_M(t,y) = 0$$

$$2\mathrm{i}\, T^{31}(t,z) = \bar{\psi}_M(t,y)\, \gamma^3_M\, \overset{\leftrightarrow}{\partial}_x\, \psi_M(t,y) = 0$$

and thereby

$$\partial_\mu\, M^\mu_{13} = \partial_\mu\, S^\mu_{13} = 0 \tag{138}$$

whence it follows that the helicity is conserved in time. After insertion of the normal modes expansion one gets

$$\begin{aligned} h &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}y\;\; :\tfrac{1}{2}\,\psi_M(t,y)\, \Sigma_{M,2}\, \psi_M(t,y): \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}y\;\; :\sum_{p\,,\,r}\; \Big[\, a_{p\,,r}\, u_{p\,,r}(t,y) + a^\dagger_{p\,,r}\, u^*_{p\,,r}(t,y)\,\Big] \\ &\quad\times \tfrac{1}{2}\,\Sigma_{M,2} \sum_{q\,,\,s}\; \Big[\, a^\dagger_{q\,,s}\, u^*_{q\,,s}(t,y) + a_{q\,,s}\, u_{q\,,s}(t,y)\,\Big]: \end{aligned} \tag{139}$$

where $\Sigma_{M,2} = i\gamma^3_M\,\gamma^1_M$ and in which we have set

$$\mathbf{p} = (0,p,0) \qquad \mathbf{q} = (0,q,0) \qquad \omega_p = \sqrt{p^2+m^2} \tag{140}$$

$$u_{p,r}(t,y) = [\,4\pi\omega_p\,]^{-1/2}\, u_r(p)\, \exp\{-\,\mathrm{i}\, t\, \omega_p + \mathrm{i}\, py\} \tag{141}$$

the normalization being now consistent with the occurrence that the spinor plane waves are independent of the transverse spatial coordinates $x_\perp = (x^1\,,\, x^3)\,$. From the commutation relation

$$[\,\omega_p \gamma^0_M - p\,\gamma^2_M\,,\, \Sigma_{M,2}\,] = 0$$

together with the definition

$$u_r(p) \equiv (2\omega_p + 2m)^{-1/2}\; \big(m + \omega_p\gamma^0_M - p\,\gamma^2_M\big)\, \xi_r \qquad (\, r\; = \uparrow, \downarrow\,)$$

it can be readily derived that

$$(\,\Sigma_{M,2} - 1\,)\, u^\uparrow_+(p) = 0 \qquad\qquad (\,\Sigma_{M,2} + 1\,)\, u^\downarrow_+(p) = 0$$

which yields in turn

$$h = \tfrac{1}{2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}p\, \left[\, a^{\dagger}_{p,\uparrow}\, a_{p,\uparrow} - a^{\dagger}_{p,\downarrow}\, a_{p,\downarrow} \right] \tag{142}$$

Thus, in general, the 1-particle states

$$a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{p},\uparrow}|\,0\,\rangle \;=\; |\,\mathbf{p}\uparrow\rangle \qquad\qquad a^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{p},\downarrow}|\,0\,\rangle \;=\; |\,\mathbf{p}\downarrow\rangle$$

do represent neutral Majorana massive particles with energy momentum $p^{\mu} = (\omega_{\mathbf{p}},\, \mathbf{p})$ and positive or negative helicities respectively.

5. Majorana Equation in Rindler Coordinates

The Majorana spinor equation in any inertial reference frame with a given set of rectangular coordinates $\mathrm{X}^{\alpha} = (c\tau, X, Y, Z)$ in the Minkowski spacetime reads

$$\gamma^{\alpha}_{M}\,\frac{\mathrm{i}\partial}{\partial \mathrm{X}^{\alpha}}\,\Psi_{M}(\mathrm{X}) = m\,\Psi^{c}_{M}(\mathrm{X}) \qquad\qquad \Psi_{M} = \Psi^{c}_{M} \tag{143}$$

where $\Psi^{c}(\mathrm{X})$ generally stands for the charge conjugated bispinor in the Minkowski spacetime. Since the gamma matrices in the Majorana representation are purely imaginary, it appears manifest that the above equation always admits real spinor solution, i.e. the selfconjugated Majorana spinors. The transition to a noninertial frame referred to a curvilinear coordinate system $\mathrm{x}^{\mu} = (ct, x, y, z)$ goes as follows.

5.1. Tetrad Fields

First we define

$$\mathrm{X}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x}^{\mu}) = \begin{cases} c\tau(ct,x,y,z) \\ X(ct,x,y,z) \\ Y(ct,x,y,z) \\ Z(ct,x,y,z) \end{cases} \qquad\qquad \left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{X}^{\alpha}}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{\mu}}\right) \equiv \zeta^{\alpha}_{\mu}(\mathrm{x}) = \Lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta}(\mathrm{x}) V^{\beta}_{\mu}(\mathrm{x})$$

$$\mathrm{d}s^{2} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\,\mathrm{d}\mathrm{X}^{\alpha}\mathrm{d}\mathrm{X}^{\beta} = \eta_{\alpha\beta}\,V^{\alpha}_{\mu}(\mathrm{x})V^{\beta}_{\nu}(\mathrm{x})\,\mathrm{d}\mathrm{x}^{\mu}\mathrm{d}\mathrm{x}^{\nu} \equiv g_{\mu\nu}(\mathrm{x})\,\mathrm{d}\mathrm{x}^{\mu}\mathrm{d}\mathrm{x}^{\nu}$$

where the local Lorentz transformation $\Lambda(\mathrm{x})$ is a rank-four square matrix belonging to the irreducible vector representation $D_{\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{2}}$ of the Lorentz group, its matrix elements $\Lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta}(\mathrm{x})$ being dependent upon the curvilinear coordinates. Next we set [11]

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_{M}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,] \equiv D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\psi_{M}(\mathrm{x}) \\ &D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,] = \exp\left\{-\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma^{\alpha\beta}_{M}\,\omega_{\alpha\beta}(\mathrm{x})\right\} \qquad\qquad \sigma^{\alpha\beta}_{M} \equiv \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{i}\,[\,\gamma^{\alpha}_{M}\,,\,\gamma^{\beta}_{M}\,] \\ &\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{X}^{\alpha}} = \left(\frac{\partial \mathrm{x}^{\mu}}{\partial \mathrm{X}^{\alpha}}\right)\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{\mu}} \equiv \Lambda^{\beta}_{\alpha}(\mathrm{x})V^{\mu}_{\beta}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathrm{x}^{\mu}} \\ &V^{\mu}_{\alpha}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,V^{\alpha}_{\nu}(\mathrm{x}) = \delta^{\mu}_{\nu} \qquad\qquad V^{\mu}_{\alpha}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,V^{\beta}_{\mu}(\mathrm{x}) = \delta^{\beta}_{\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

where $D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]$ denotes the four dimensional spinor local representation $D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,] = D_{\frac{1}{2}0}[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,] \oplus D_{0\frac{1}{2}}[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]$ of the Lorentz group in the Majorana representation for the gamma matrices, which satisfies

$$D^{-1}[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,] = D[\,\Lambda^{-1}(\mathrm{x})\,] = \exp\left\{\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma^{\alpha\beta}_{M}\,\omega_{\alpha\beta}(\mathrm{x})\right\}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_M^{\alpha}\,\frac{\mathrm{i}\partial}{\partial\mathrm{X}^{\alpha}}\,\Psi_M(\mathrm{X}) &= \gamma_M^{\alpha}\,\Lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})V_{\beta}^{\mu}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\frac{\mathrm{i}\partial}{\partial\mathrm{x}^{\mu}}\,\{D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\psi_M(\mathrm{x})\}\\ &= \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})\,V_{\beta}^{\mu}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\gamma_M^{\alpha}\,\{\mathrm{i}\,\partial_{\mu}D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\}\,\psi_M(\mathrm{x})\\ &+ \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})\,V_{\beta}^{\mu}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\gamma_M^{\alpha}\,D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\mathrm{i}\,\partial_{\mu}\psi_M(\mathrm{x})\end{aligned}$$

Thus, if we multiply from the left by the inverse matrix $D^{-1}[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}m\,\psi_M^{c}(\mathrm{x}) &= \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})\,V_{\beta}^{\mu}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,D^{-1}[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\gamma_M^{\alpha}\,\{\mathrm{i}\,\partial_{\mu}D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\}\,\psi_M(\mathrm{x})\\ &+ \Lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})\,V_{\beta}^{\mu}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,D^{-1}[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\gamma_M^{\alpha}\,D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\mathrm{i}\,\partial_{\mu}\psi_M(\mathrm{x})\end{aligned}$$

and from the well known transformation properties of the gamma matrices

$$D^{-1}[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\gamma_M^{\alpha}\,D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,] = \Lambda_{\delta}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x})\,\gamma_M^{\delta} \qquad \Lambda_{\eta}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x})\,\Lambda_{\beta}^{\eta}(\mathrm{x}) = \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}$$

together with the definition

$$\Lambda_{\alpha}^{\beta}(\mathrm{x})\,V_{\beta}^{\mu}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,D^{-1}[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\gamma_M^{\alpha}\,\{\mathrm{i}\,\partial_{\mu}D[\,\Lambda(\mathrm{x})\,]\} \equiv V_{\alpha}^{\mu}(\mathrm{x})\,\gamma_M^{\alpha}\,\Gamma_{\mu}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{144}$$

we eventually come to the Majorana bispinor field equation for a noninertial frame referred to a curvilinear coordinate system: namely,

$$V_{\alpha}^{\mu}(\mathrm{x})\,\gamma_M^{\alpha}\,\{\partial_{\mu} - \mathrm{i}\,\Gamma_{\mu}(\mathrm{x})\}\,\psi_M(\mathrm{x}) + im\,\psi_M^{c}(\mathrm{x}) = 0 \qquad \psi_M = \psi_M^{c} \tag{145}$$

The particular case of the uniformly accelerated noninertial frame referred to the Rindler's curvilinear coordinates system can be handled as follows. First we set with $\eta = \mathrm{a}t/c$ and $\xi = \mathrm{a}x/c^2$

$$\Lambda(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh\eta & \sinh\eta & 0 & 0\\ \sinh\eta & \cosh\eta & 0 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Lambda(0) = \mathbb{I} \tag{146}$$

$$\Psi_M[\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})] = D[\Lambda(t)]\,\psi_M(\mathrm{x}) \tag{147}$$

$$\begin{aligned}D[\Lambda(t)] &= \exp\left\{-\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma_M^{01}\,\omega_{01}(t)\right\} = \exp\left\{\tfrac{1}{2}\,\alpha_M^{1}\,\eta\right\}\\ &= \cosh\tfrac{1}{2}\eta + \alpha_M^{1}\,\sinh\tfrac{1}{2}\eta = D^{\dagger}[\Lambda(t)]\end{aligned} \tag{148}$$

with

$$\mathrm{X}^{\alpha}(\mathrm{x}^{\mu}) = \begin{cases} \tau(t,x) = \xi\sinh\eta\\ X(t,x) = \xi\cosh\eta\\ Y = y\\ Z = z \end{cases} \qquad \zeta_{\mu}^{\alpha}(\eta,\xi) = \Lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}(t)\,V_{\mu}^{\;\beta}(x)$$

$$V_0^{\;\mu}(x) = \xi^{-1}\,\delta_0^{\mu} \qquad V_{\jmath}^{\;\mu} = \delta_{\jmath}^{\mu}$$

where the one-parameter rank-four square matrix $D[\Lambda(t)]$ does represent a local boost along the $OX-$direction. Then it is clear that solely the term

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\{D[\Lambda(t)]\,\psi_M(\mathrm{x})\}$$

does actually generate two contributions: precisely,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\,\{D[\Lambda(t)]\,\psi_M(\mathrm{x})\} &= D[\Lambda(t)]\,\left\{\tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{a}\,\alpha_M^1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\}\psi_M(\mathrm{x}) \\ &= D[\Lambda(t)]\,\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \mathrm{i}\,\Gamma_0\right\}\Psi_M(\mathrm{x}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Gamma_0 = \tfrac{1}{2}\,i\mathrm{a}\,\gamma_M^0\gamma_M^1 = \tfrac{1}{2}\,i\mathrm{a}\,\alpha_M^1 = \tfrac{1}{2}\,i\mathrm{a}\left(\begin{array}{cc} -\,\sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 \end{array}\right)$$

Thus, in this specific case we can write

$$\begin{aligned} &\Lambda_\alpha^\beta(t)\,V_\beta^0(x)\,D^{-1}[\,\Lambda(t)\,]\,\gamma_M^\alpha\,\{\mathrm{i}\,\partial_t D[\,\Lambda(t)\,]\} \\ &= \Lambda_\alpha^\beta(t)\,V_\beta^0(x)\,D^{-1}[\,\Lambda(t)\,]\,\gamma_M^\alpha\,D[\Lambda(t)]\,\Gamma_0 \\ &= \Lambda_\alpha^\beta(t)\,V_\beta^0(x)\,\Lambda_\eta^\alpha(t)\,\gamma_M^\eta\,\Gamma_0 = V_\beta^0(x)\gamma_M^\beta\,\Gamma_0 \\ &= \frac{c^2}{\mathrm{a}\,x}\,\gamma_M^0\,\Gamma_0 \end{aligned}$$

in such a manner that we eventually obtain

$$\left\{V_0^0(x)\gamma_M^0\,(\partial_0 - \mathrm{i}\,\Gamma_0) + \gamma_M^k\,\partial_k + im\right\}\psi_M(\mathrm{x}) = 0 \qquad\qquad \psi_M = \psi_M^c$$

Turning to a general curved spacetime and to some generic curvilinear coordinate system $\mathrm{x}^\mu = (ct, x, y, z)$, then we come [7, 11] to the generally covariant Majorana bispinor wave equation

$$V_\alpha^\mu(\mathrm{x})\,\gamma_M^\alpha\,\nabla_\mu\psi_M(\mathrm{x}) + im\,\psi_M^c(\mathrm{x}) = 0 \qquad\qquad \psi_M = \psi_M^c \tag{149}$$

where

$$\nabla_\mu \equiv \partial_\mu - \mathrm{i}\Gamma_\mu = \partial_\mu - \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{i}\,\sigma_M^{\alpha\beta}\,\omega_{\,\alpha\beta\,;\,\mu} \tag{150}$$

$$\sigma_M^{\alpha\beta} \;\equiv\; \tfrac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{i}\,[\,\gamma_M^\alpha\,,\,\gamma_M^\beta\,] \tag{151}$$

$$\omega_{\,\alpha\beta\,;\,\mu} \;\equiv\; V_\alpha^\nu(\mathrm{x})\,V_{\beta\nu\,;\,\mu}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{152}$$

$$V_{\beta\nu\,;\,\mu}(\mathrm{x}) \equiv D_\mu\,V_{\beta\nu}(\mathrm{x}) = \partial_\mu\,V_{\beta\nu}(\mathrm{x}) - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\lambda(\mathrm{x})\,V_{\beta\lambda}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{153}$$

so that eventually

$$\nabla_\mu \;=\; \partial_\mu + \tfrac{1}{8}\,[\,\gamma_M^\alpha\,,\,\gamma_M^\beta\,]\,V_\alpha^\nu(\mathrm{x})\,D_\mu\,V_{\beta\nu}(\mathrm{x}) \tag{154}$$

Again, if we turn to the purely imaginary Majorana representation for the gamma matrices $\gamma_M^\alpha + \gamma_M^{\alpha\,*} = 0$, then the above covariant bispinor equation (149) admits real selfconjugated Majorana bispinor solutions

$$V_\alpha^\mu(\mathrm{x})\,\gamma_M^\alpha\,\nabla_\mu\,\psi_M(\mathrm{x}) + im\,\psi_M^*(\mathrm{x}) = 0 \qquad\qquad \psi_M = \psi_M^c = \psi_M^* \tag{155}$$

It turns out that, taking into account the simplest choice (16)-(19) for the vierbeine or tetrad fields, the matrix-valued spin connection components are given by

$$\Gamma_0(x) = \tfrac{i}{4}\,\gamma_M^0\gamma_M^1\;[\,V_0^\nu(x)\,V_{1\nu\,;\,0}(x) - V_1^\nu(x)\,V_{0\nu\,;\,0}(x)\,] \tag{156}$$

$$\Gamma_1(x) = \tfrac{i}{4}\,\gamma_M^0\gamma_M^1\;[\,V_0^\nu(x)\,V_{1\nu\,;\,1}(x) - V_1^\nu(x)\,V_{0\nu\,;\,1}(x)\,] \tag{157}$$

$$\Gamma_2(x) = \Gamma_3(x) = 0 \tag{158}$$

Now we have

$$V_0^{\,\nu}\,V_{1\nu\,;\,0} = -\,V_0^{\,\nu}\,\Gamma^{\kappa}_{0\nu}\,V_{1\kappa} = \mathrm{a} \tag{159}$$

$$V_1^{\,\nu}(x)\,V_{0\nu\,;\,0}(x) = -\,V_1^{\,1}\,\Gamma^{0}_{10}\,V_{00} = -\,\mathrm{a} \tag{160}$$

that yields once again as before

$$\Gamma_0 = \tfrac{1}{2}\,i\mathrm{a}\,\gamma^0_M\gamma^1_M = \tfrac{1}{2}\,i\mathrm{a}\,\alpha^1_M = \tfrac{1}{2}\,i\mathrm{a}\left(\begin{array}{cc} -\,\sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 \end{array}\right) \tag{161}$$

Finally one gets

$$\Gamma_1(x) \equiv 0 \tag{162}$$

in such a manner that we can eventually write

$$\nabla_0 = \partial_t + \tfrac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{a}\,\alpha^1_M \qquad\quad \nabla_\jmath = \partial_\jmath \qquad\quad (\,\jmath = x, y, z\,) \tag{163}$$

Hence, for a uniformly accelerated noninertial observer employing a Rindler's curvilinear coordinate system, the covariant Majorana massive operator definitely reads

$$\begin{aligned} i\not{D}_M - m &\equiv iV^{\mu}_{\alpha}(x)\,\gamma^{\alpha}_M\,\nabla_\mu - m \\ &= V^0_0(x)\gamma^0_M\,(i\partial_0 + \Gamma_0) + \gamma^k_M\,i\partial_k - m \end{aligned} \tag{164}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} i\not{D}_M - m &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{a}x}\,\gamma^0_M\,i\partial_t - \gamma^1_M\,\hat{P}_x - \gamma^2_M\,\hat{p}_y - \gamma^3_M\,\hat{p}_z - m \\ &= (-\,g)^{-1/2}\,\gamma^0_M(\,i\hbar\partial_t - H_M\,) \end{aligned} \tag{165}$$

in which we have set

$$\hat{P}_x = \hat{p}_x - \frac{i\hbar}{2x} = -\,i\hbar\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{2x}\right) \qquad\quad \hat{p}_\jmath = -\,i\hbar\partial_\jmath \qquad\quad (\,\jmath = x, y, z\,)$$

5.2. Self-Adjointness of the self-conjugated Hamiltonian

On the one hand, from the above explicit expression of the covariant Dirac operator in the Majorana representation of the gamma matrices, it keeps manifestly true that even the covariant Majorana spinor equation (155) always admits real solutions, i.e. the selfconjugated spinors, as expected from the general covariance and the equivalence principles. On the other hand, the Rindler time evolution of a real Majorana bispinor is governed by the 1-particle Majorana hamiltonian

$$i\hbar\partial_t\,\psi_M(t,\mathbf{x}) \;=\; H_M\,\psi_M(t,\mathbf{x})$$

which can be readily extracted from the covariant equation (155) and reads

$$H_M = \sqrt{-g}\,\left\{\alpha^1_M\left(\hat{p}_x - \frac{i}{2x}\right) + \alpha^2_M\,\hat{p}_y + \alpha^3_M\,\hat{p}_z + m\,\beta_M\right\} = H^{\dagger}_M \tag{166}$$

where, as customary,

$$\alpha^k_M = \gamma^0_M\gamma^k_M \qquad\quad \hat{p}_k = -\,i\hbar\partial_k \qquad\quad \beta_M = \gamma^0_M \qquad\quad (\,k = 1, 2, 3\,) \tag{167}$$

Just like in the Dirac case, the 1-particle Majorana hamiltonian is self-adjoint in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$ iff

$$\lim_{\lambda\to 1^+}\ \lim_{x\to 0^+}\int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp\ x^\lambda\,\psi_2^\dagger(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\,\alpha_M^1\,\psi_1(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)=0 \qquad \forall\,\psi_1\,,\,\psi_2\in\mathfrak{D}_M \tag{168}$$

the order of the two limits being not interchangeable.

As a matter of fact the Rindler time evolution of a complex Dirac bispinor is governed by the 1-particle Dirac hamiltonian

$$i\hbar\partial_t\,\psi(t,\mathbf{x})\ =\ H_D\,\psi(t,\mathbf{x})$$

which can be readily extracted from the covariant equation (155) and reads

$$H_D=\sqrt{-g}\,\left\{\alpha^1\left(\hat{p}_x-\frac{i}{2x}\right)+\alpha^2\,\hat{p}_y+\alpha^3\,\hat{p}_z+m\,\beta\right\} \tag{169}$$

where, as customary,

$$\alpha^k=\gamma^0\gamma^k \qquad \hat{p}_k=-\,i\hbar\partial_k \qquad \beta=\gamma^0 \qquad (\,k=1,2,3\,) \tag{170}$$

Let us investigate a little bit closer the hermiticity property of the above Dirac hamiltonian operator H_D . The general diffeomorphisms invariant inner product between any arbitrary pair of square integrable complex solutions of the covariant Dirac equation is defined by

$$(\,\psi_2\,,\,\psi_1\,)\equiv\oint_\Sigma V_{\alpha\mu}(x)\,\bar{\psi}_2(\mathrm{x})\,\gamma^{\,\alpha}\,\psi_1(\mathrm{x})\,\mathrm{d}\Sigma^{\,\mu} \tag{171}$$

where $\bar{\psi}(\mathrm{x})\ \equiv\ \psi^\dagger(\mathrm{x})\,\gamma^0\ =\ \psi^\dagger(\mathrm{x})\,\beta$ whereas Σ is a three dimensional future oriented spacelike hypersurface. Again, for the initial time three dimensional hypersurface in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$ we get

$$\mathrm{d}\Sigma^0\ =\ -\ \frac{\theta(x)\,\mathrm{d}x}{\sqrt{(-\,g)}}\,\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \qquad \mathrm{d}\Sigma^\imath=0 \quad (\,\imath=1,2,3\,) \tag{172}$$

and since we have $V_{00}=\sqrt{-\,g}$ we eventually obtain

$$(\,\psi_2\,,\,\psi_1\,)\ =\ -\int_{0^+}^\infty \mathrm{d}x\int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp\ \psi_2^\dagger(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\,\psi_1(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp) \tag{173}$$

Thus we find

$$\begin{aligned}(\,\psi_2\,,\,H_D\,\psi_1\,)\ &=\ -\int_{0^+}^\infty \mathrm{d}x\int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp\ \psi_2^\dagger(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)H_D\,\psi_1(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\\ &=\ -\,\mathrm{a}\int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp\int_{0^+}^\infty x\,\mathrm{d}x\ \psi_2^\dagger(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\\ &\times\ \left\{\alpha^1\left(\hat{p}_x-\frac{i\hbar}{2x}\right)+\alpha^2\,\hat{p}_y+\alpha^3\,\hat{p}_z+m\,\beta\right\}\ \psi_1(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\end{aligned}$$

Let us focus our attention on the expression

$$\begin{aligned}&i\hbar\mathrm{a}\int_{0^+}^\infty x\ \psi_2^\dagger(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\,\alpha^1\left(\partial_x+\frac{1}{2x}\right)\psi_1(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\,\mathrm{d}x\\ &=\ i\hbar\mathrm{a}\left[\,x\,\psi_2^\dagger(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\,\alpha^1\,\psi_1(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\,\right]_{0^+}^\infty\end{aligned}$$

$$- i\hbar \mathrm{a} \int_{0^+}^{\infty} x \ \left[\left(\partial_x + \frac{1}{2x} \right) \psi_2(t, x, \mathbf{x}_\perp) \right]^\dagger \alpha^1 \, \psi_1(t, x, \mathbf{x}_\perp) \, \mathrm{d}x \tag{174}$$

in such a manner that we can write

$$\begin{aligned} (\, \psi_2 \, , \, H_D \, \psi_1 \,) &= i\mathrm{a}\hbar \int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \ \left[x \, \psi_2^\dagger(t, x, \mathbf{x}_\perp) \, \alpha^1 \, \psi_1(t, x, \mathbf{x}_\perp) \right]_{0^+}^{\infty} \\ &- \mathrm{a} \int_{0^+}^{\infty} x \, \mathrm{d}x \int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \ \left[\alpha^1 \left(\hat{p}_x - \frac{i\hbar}{2x} \right) \psi_2(t, x, \mathbf{x}_\perp) \right]^\dagger \psi_1(t, x, \mathbf{x}_\perp) \\ &+ \ \left(\sqrt{-g} \, \{ \alpha^2 \, \hat{p}_y + \alpha^3 \, \hat{p}_z + m \, \beta \} \, \psi_2 \, , \, \psi_1 \, \right) \end{aligned} \tag{175}$$

This last equality means that we can identify

$$H_D^\dagger = \sqrt{-g} \left\{ \alpha^1 \left(\hat{p}_x - \frac{i\hbar}{2x} \right) + \alpha^2 \, \hat{p}_y + \alpha^3 \, \hat{p}_z + m \, \beta \right\} \ = \ H_D \tag{176}$$

which means that the symmetric Dirac hamiltonian operator is actually self-adjoint on the right Rindler wedge provided the domain $\mathfrak{D}$ of the Dirac complex bispinors $\psi_1 \, , \, \psi_2$ is such that

$$\lim_{\lambda \to 1^+} \int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \ \left[x^\lambda \, \psi_2^\dagger(t, x, \mathbf{x}_\perp) \, \alpha^1 \, \psi_1(t, x, \mathbf{x}_\perp) \right]_{0^+}^{\infty} = 0 \qquad \forall \, \psi_1 \, , \, \psi_2 \in \mathfrak{D} \tag{177}$$

5.3. Generally Covariant Majorana Wave Equation

It turns out that the second order differential operator acting on the Majorana spinors can be cast into the form

$$\begin{aligned} &- \ (\, i\not{D}_M - m \,) \, (\, i\not{D}_M + m \,) \\ &= (\, V_\alpha^\mu(x) \, \gamma_M^\alpha \ \nabla_\mu + im \,) \left(V_\beta^\lambda(x) \, \gamma_M^\beta \ \nabla_\lambda - im \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{a}^2 x^2} \, \partial_t^2 + \hat{P}_x^2 + \hat{p}_\perp^2 + m^2 + \frac{\alpha_M^1}{\mathrm{a} \, x^2} \, \partial_t \\ &= \frac{1}{\mathrm{a}^2 x^2} \left(\partial_t^2 + \alpha_M^1 \, \mathrm{a} \, \partial_t \right) - \left(\partial_x + \frac{1}{2x} \right)^2 - \partial_\perp^2 + m^2 \end{aligned} \tag{178}$$

It is convenient to obtain the spinor solutions of the Majorana equation from the solutions of the second order differential equation

$$(\, i\not{D}_M - m \,) \, (\, i\not{D}_M + m \,) \ f(t, x, y, z) \, \Upsilon = 0 \tag{179}$$

where $f(t, x, y, z)$ is an invariant scalar function, whereas Υ is a constant eigenspinor of the matrix

$$\alpha_M^1 = \gamma_M^0 \gamma_M^1 = \begin{pmatrix} -\,\sigma_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

There are two degenerate real eigenvalues $\lambda_\pm = \pm\, 1$ of the hermitean matrix α_M^1 and for each eigenvalue one pair of degenerate constant eigenbispinors. Moreover, consider the OX component of the spin in the particle comoving instantaneous rest frame, that is nothing but the helicity hermitean matrix along the direction of the acceleration

$$\tfrac{1}{2} \, \Sigma_M^1 \ \equiv \ \tfrac{i}{4} \, [\, \gamma_M^2 \, , \, \gamma_M^3 \,] \qquad\qquad \Sigma_M^1 \ \equiv \ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i\sigma_3 \\ -\, i\sigma_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{180}$$

which obviously satisfies $[\,\alpha_M^1\,,\,\Sigma_M^1\,] = 0$, in such a manner that we can set

$$\Upsilon_+^\uparrow = \tfrac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ -\,i \\ -\,i \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Upsilon_+^\downarrow = \tfrac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ i \\ i \end{pmatrix} \tag{181}$$

$$\Upsilon_-^\uparrow = \tfrac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} i \\ i \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \Upsilon_-^\downarrow = \tfrac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} -\,i \\ -\,i \\ 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{182}$$

The above four bispinors do realize a complete and orthonormal set and fulfill by construction

$$\alpha_M^1\,\Upsilon_\pm^\uparrow = \pm\,\Upsilon_\pm^\uparrow \qquad \alpha_M^1\,\Upsilon_\pm^\downarrow = \pm\,\Upsilon_\pm^\downarrow \tag{183}$$

$$\Sigma_M^1\,\Upsilon_\pm^\uparrow = \Upsilon_\pm^\uparrow \qquad \Sigma_M^1\,\Upsilon_\pm^\downarrow = -\,\Upsilon_\pm^\downarrow \tag{184}$$

Notice that if we set

$$\chi_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \chi_- = \begin{pmatrix} i \\ i \end{pmatrix} \tag{185}$$

then we can write

$$\Upsilon_\pm^\uparrow = \tfrac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \chi_\pm \\ -\,\sigma_2\chi_\pm^* \end{pmatrix} = \Upsilon_\pm^L \qquad \Upsilon_\pm^\downarrow = \tfrac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_2\chi_\pm^* \\ \chi_\pm \end{pmatrix} = \Upsilon_\pm^R \tag{186}$$

which means that the constant self-conjugated Majorana bispinors Υ of positive helicity do correspond to the left handed Weyl spinors, while the negative helicity self-conjugated Majorana bispinors to the right handed Weyl spinors. Thus we can eventually identify up arrow with left handed $\uparrow = L$ and down arrow with right handed $\downarrow = R$. Moreover we can check by direct inspection that

$$\left(\Upsilon_\pm^L\right)^* = \Upsilon_\pm^R \tag{187}$$

There are in general four linearly independent **complex** solutions of the covariant bispinor equation (149) which can always be written in the form

$$\psi_\pm^r(t,x,y,z) = (\,i\not{D}_M + m\,)\; f_\pm(t,x,y,z)\,\Upsilon_\pm^r \qquad (\,r\; = \uparrow, \downarrow = \;L, R\,) \tag{188}$$

but since we have

$$(\,i\not{D}_M + m\,)^* = i\not{D}_M + m \tag{189}$$

by virtue of the purely imaginary form of the gamma matrices in the Majorana representation, there exists only two types of real massive bispinor solutions, viz.

$$\chi_\pm^r(t,\mathbf{x}) = (\,i\not{D}_M + m\,)\left[\,f_\pm(t,\mathbf{x})\,\Upsilon_\pm^r \;+\; \text{c.c.}\,\right] \qquad (\,r\; = \;L, R\,) \tag{190}$$

where $f_\pm(t,x,y,z)$ are arbitrary complex solutions of the second order differential equations

$$\left\{\frac{-1}{\mathrm{a}^2x^2}\left(\partial_t^2 \pm \mathrm{a}\,\partial_t\right) + \left(\partial_x + \frac{1}{2x}\right)^2 + \partial_\perp^2 - m^2\right\} f_\pm(t,x,y,z) = 0 \tag{191}$$

In fact, after taking the partial Fourier transform

$$f_\pm(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d}p_0 \int \mathrm{d}\mathbf{p}\ \tilde{f}_\pm(p_0,\mathbf{p};x)\ \exp\{-ip_0t + i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}_\perp\} \tag{192}$$

$$\mathbf{x}_\perp = (y,z) \qquad \mathbf{p} = (p_y,p_z) \qquad \kappa = \sqrt{\mathbf{p}^2 + (mc/\hbar)^2} \tag{193}$$

we come to the couple of the second order ordinary differential equations

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \frac{1}{x}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - \kappa - \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\frac{1}{4} - \frac{p_0^2}{\mathrm{a}^2}\right) \pm \frac{ip_0}{\mathrm{a}\,x^2}\right]\tilde{f}_\pm(p_0,\mathbf{p};x) \;=\; 0 \tag{194}$$

and if we set

$$ip_0 = \bar{p} \qquad \bar{p}^2 = -\,p_0^2 \tag{195}$$

we recover the modified Bessel equations

$$\left[\frac{\mathrm{d}^2}{\mathrm{d}x^2} + \frac{1}{x}\cdot\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} - \frac{1}{x^2}\left(\frac{\bar{p}}{\mathrm{a}} \mp \frac{1}{2}\right)^2 - \kappa\right]\tilde{f}_\pm(\bar{p},\mathbf{p};x) \;=\; 0 \tag{196}$$

the most general solutions of which are the modified Bessel functions

$$\tilde{f}_\pm(\bar{p},\mathbf{p};x) = C_\pm\,K_{i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) + C_\pm'\,I_{i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \qquad \nu = \frac{\bar{p}}{i\mathrm{a}} \tag{197}$$

Once again the solution $I_{i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)$ must be discarded for $x > 0$ so that we are left with the sets

$$\tilde{f}_\pm(p_0,\mathbf{p};x) = \tilde{f}_\pm(\nu,\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \qquad \nu = \frac{p_0}{\mathrm{a}} \qquad (\,x > 0\,) \tag{198}$$

and if we take into account that the solutions keep the very same under the substitutions

$$\tilde{f}_\pm(\nu,\mathbf{p}) \quad\mapsto\quad \tilde{f}_\mp(-\nu,-\mathbf{p}) \quad\mapsto\quad \tilde{f}^{\,*}_\mp(\nu,\mathbf{p})$$

$$K_{i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \quad\mapsto\quad K_{-i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) = K_{i\nu\pm\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)$$

we come to the two sets of the real bispinor normal modes on the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$ which are solutions of the second order covariant equation (179), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_\pm^{\mathrm{p}}(t,x,y,z) &= \Upsilon_\pm^L\,K_{i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\ \exp\{-i\mathrm{a}\nu t/c + i\mathbf{p}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp\}\\ &+\ \Upsilon_\pm^R\,K_{i\nu\pm\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\ \exp\{i\mathrm{a}\nu t/c - i\mathbf{p}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp\} \qquad (\,x > 0\,)\end{aligned}$$

where the suffix p stands for the triple $(E,\mathbf{p})$ or $(\nu,\mathbf{p})$ with $\nu = cE/\hbar\mathrm{a}$. The above sets of real bispinor normal modes are eigenstates of the matrix α_M^1 because

$$\alpha_M^1\,\phi_\pm^{\mathrm{p}}(t,x,y,z) = \,\pm\,\phi_\pm^{\mathrm{p}}(t,x,y,z)$$

5.4. Majorana Bispinor Normal Modes

In order to obtain the bispinor solutions (188) it is convenient to start with

$$(\,i\not{\partial}_M + m\,)\ \exp\{-\,iE\,t + i\,\mathbf{p}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp\} = (\,\not{P}_M + m\,)\ \exp\{-\,i\,(E\,t - \mathbf{p}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\} \tag{199}$$

where

$$\not{P}_M + m = \gamma_M^1\, iD_x \,+ \frac{E}{\mathrm{a}x}\,\gamma_M^0 - \gamma_M^2\, p_y - \gamma_M^3\, p_z + m \;=$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{cccc} D_x + m & -\,i\,(\,p_z - \nu/x\,) & 0 & ip_y \\ -\,i\,(\,p_z + \nu/x\,) & -\,D_x + m & -\,ip_y & 0 \\ 0 & -\,ip_y & D_x + m & -\,i\,(\,p_z + \nu/x\,) \\ ip_y & 0 & -\,i\,(\,p_z - \nu/x\,) & -\,D_x + m \end{array}\right) \tag{200}$$

Next we find

$$(\,\not{P}_M + m\,)\,\Upsilon_\pm^L \;=\; m\Upsilon_\pm^L + (\,p_y + ip_z\,)\Upsilon_\pm^R - \Upsilon_\mp^L\, i\delta_+ \tag{201}$$

$$(\,\not{P}_M + m\,)\,\Upsilon_\pm^R \;=\; m\Upsilon_\pm^R - (\,p_y - ip_z\,)\Upsilon_\pm^L + \Upsilon_\mp^R\, i\delta_- \tag{202}$$

in which we have set

$$\delta_\pm \;\equiv\; i\hat{P}_x \pm \frac{i\nu}{x} \;=\; \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}x} + \frac{1}{x}\left(\tfrac{1}{2} \pm i\nu\right) \;=\; \delta_\mp^\dagger \tag{203}$$

Now, from the recursion formulæ

$$\delta_\pm\, K_{i\nu\pm\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) + \kappa\, K_{i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \;=\; 0 \tag{204}$$

we get

$$\delta_\mp\, \tilde{f}_\pm(p_0, \mathbf{p}; x) = \tilde{f}_\pm(\nu, \mathbf{p})\, \delta_\mp\, K_{i\nu\,\mp\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) = -\,\kappa\, \tilde{f}_\pm(\nu, \mathbf{p})\, K_{i\nu\,\pm\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \tag{205}$$

and after the suitable introduction of the transverse momentum dependent spin states

$$u_\pm(\mathbf{p}) = m\Upsilon_\pm^L + (\,p_y + ip_z\,)\Upsilon_\pm^R \tag{206}$$

$$v_\pm(\mathbf{p}) = m\Upsilon_\pm^R - (\,p_y - ip_z\,)\Upsilon_\pm^L \tag{207}$$

we can eventually write the two sets of bispinor solutions: namely,

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} (\,\not{P}_M + m\,)\,\Upsilon_+^L\, \tilde{f}_+(p_0, \mathbf{p}; x) = u_+(\mathbf{p})\, K_{i\nu\,-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) + i\kappa\, \Upsilon_-^L\, K_{i\nu\,+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \\ (\,\not{P}_M + m\,)\,\Upsilon_+^R\, \tilde{f}_+(p_0, \mathbf{p}; x) = v_+(\mathbf{p})\, K_{i\nu\,-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) - i\kappa\, \Upsilon_-^R\, K_{i\nu\,+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \end{array}\right. \tag{208}$$

together with

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} (\,\not{P}_M + m\,)\,\Upsilon_-^L\, \tilde{f}_-(p_0, \mathbf{p}; x) = u_-(\mathbf{p})\, K_{i\nu\,+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) - i\kappa\, \Upsilon_+^L\, K_{i\nu\,-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \\ (\,\not{P}_M + m\,)\,\Upsilon_-^R\, \tilde{f}_-(p_0, \mathbf{p}; x) = v_-(\mathbf{p})\, K_{i\nu\,+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) + i\kappa\, \Upsilon_+^R\, K_{i\nu\,-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \end{array}\right. \tag{209}$$

Thus $\forall\, p_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we find four bispinor solutions describing the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ quantum states of definite transverse momentum, which propagate in the Rindler wedges. Of course they can be always set into 1:1 correspondence with the left and right massive Weyl spinor with positive and negative helicities which are experienced by an inertial observer, as it does. It can be readily checked by direct inspection that the spin states do satisfy the following orthonormality relations, viz.,

$$\bar{u}_\pm(\mathbf{p})\, \gamma_M^0\, u_\pm(\mathbf{p}) = \bar{v}_\pm(\mathbf{p})\, \gamma_M^0\, v_\pm(\mathbf{p}) = \kappa^2 \tag{210}$$

$$\bar{u}_\pm(\mathbf{p})\, \gamma_M^0\, \Upsilon_\mp^L = \bar{v}_\pm(\mathbf{p})\, \gamma_M^0\, \Upsilon_\mp^R = 0 \tag{211}$$

$$\bar{u}_\pm(\mathbf{p})\, \gamma_M^0\, v_\pm(\mathbf{p}) = \bar{v}_\pm(\mathbf{p})\, \gamma_M^0\, u_\pm(\mathbf{p}) = 0 \tag{212}$$

$$\bar{u}_\pm(\mathbf{p})\, \gamma_M^0\, \Upsilon_\mp^R = \bar{v}_\pm(\mathbf{p})\, \gamma_M^0\, \Upsilon_\mp^L = 0 \tag{213}$$

Thus we can build up the two sets of complex plane wave solutions of the massive spinor covariant equation (149) in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$ which read

$$\psi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{N}\,\theta(x)\,\exp\{-i\nu t\,(\mathrm{a}/c) + i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}_\perp\} \\ \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_+(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) + i\kappa\,\Upsilon_-^L\,K_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = 1 \\ v_+(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) - i\kappa\,\Upsilon_-^R\,K_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = 2 \end{array} \right. \tag{214}$$

$$\phi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}(t,\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{N}\,\theta(x)\,\exp\{-i\nu t\,(\mathrm{a}/c) + i\,\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{x}_\perp\} \\ \times \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} u_-(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) - i\kappa\,\Upsilon_+^L\,K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = 1 \\ v_-(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) + i\kappa\,\Upsilon_+^R\,K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = 2 \end{array} \right. \tag{215}$$

N being a normalization constant to be determined here below. As a matter of fact, the invariant inner product between any two complex solutions of the covariant Dirac equation is defined by

$$(\,\psi_2\,,\,\psi_1\,) \equiv \oint_\Sigma V_{\alpha\mu}(x)\,\bar{\psi}_2(\mathrm{x})\,\gamma^{\,\alpha}\,\psi_1(\mathrm{x})\,\mathrm{d}\Sigma^{\,\mu} \tag{216}$$

where Σ is a three dimensional future oriented spacelike hypersurface. Again, for the initial time three dimensional hypersurface in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$ we get

$$\mathrm{d}\Sigma^0 \;=\; \frac{c^2}{\mathrm{a}\,x}\,\theta(x)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp \qquad \mathrm{d}\Sigma^\imath = 0 \quad (\,\imath = 1,2,3\,)$$

$$V_{00} \;=\; \sqrt{-\,g} \qquad\qquad \bar{\psi}(x) \;=\; \psi^\dagger(x)\,\beta_M$$

and consequently

$$(\,\psi_2\,,\,\psi_1\,) = \int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x \int \mathrm{d}^2\mathbf{x}_\perp\;\psi_2^\dagger(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\,\psi_1(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp) \tag{217}$$

For $\mathrm{p} = (\,\mu,\mathbf{p}\,)$ and $\mathrm{q} = (\,\nu,\mathbf{q}\,)$ we find for example

$$\left(\,\phi_{\mathrm{q}}^{\jmath}\,,\,\phi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}\,\right) \;=\; N\,(2\pi\kappa)^2\;\delta_{\imath\jmath}\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\mathrm{e}^{-\,it(\mu-\nu)\mathrm{a}/c} \\ \times \lim_{\lambda\to 0^-}\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\,x^{-\lambda}\left\{K^*_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\,K_{i\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) \;+\; \mu\,\leftrightarrow\,\nu\right\} \tag{218}$$

This means that one has to first consider the integral [12]

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\;x^{-\lambda}\,K_{i\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\,K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) = \frac{2^{-2-\lambda}\,\kappa^{\lambda-1}}{\Gamma(1-\lambda)} \\ \times\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\lambda+i\mu+i\nu}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1-\lambda-i\mu-i\nu}{2}\right) \\ \times\Gamma\left(\frac{2-\lambda+i\mu-i\nu}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{-\lambda-i\mu+i\nu}{2}\right) \qquad (\,\Re\mathrm{e}\,\lambda<0\,) \tag{219}$$

and realize that the right hand side of the above equality is analytic for $\lambda\in\mathbb{R}$. Thus the most convenient and simple way one can understand the value of the integral appearing in the right hand side of (218) is in terms of analytic regularisation. Namely, one can take the limit for $\lambda\to 0^-$ of the analytic function in the right hand side of (219) that yields

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\,K_{i\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\,K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) = i\pi^2/4\kappa\cosh\tfrac{1}{2}(\mu+\nu)\sinh\tfrac{1}{2}(\,\mu-\nu\,)$$

which is purely imaginary or, equivalently, manifestly antisymmetric under the exchange $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$. Hence, adding the complex conjugate, we immediately obtain the orthogonality relation, viz.,

$$\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\, K_{i\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\, K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\ +\ \text{c.c.} = 0 \qquad (\,\mu \neq \nu\,) \tag{220}$$

Moreover, if we set $\mu - \nu = \xi + i\varepsilon$ then we can write for $\varepsilon \to 0^+$

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\ K_{i\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\, K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\ \overset{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}{\sim}\ \frac{i\pi}{2\kappa\cosh\pi\mu}\cdot\frac{1}{\xi+i\varepsilon} \\ &= \left\{\mathrm{CPV}\left(\frac{1}{\xi}\right) - i\pi\delta(\xi)\right\}\frac{i\pi}{2\kappa\cosh\pi\mu}\ \xrightarrow{\varepsilon\downarrow 0}\ \frac{\pi^2}{2\kappa}\,\delta(\,\mu-\nu\,)\,\mathrm{sech}\pi\mu \end{aligned} \tag{221}$$

in such a manner that we can eventually write

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\,\phi^{\jmath}_{\mathrm{q}}\,,\,\phi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}\,\right) &= N\,(2\pi\kappa)^2\,\delta_{\imath\jmath}\,\delta(\,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}'\,)\,\delta(\,\mu-\nu\,)\,\frac{\pi^2}{\kappa\cosh\pi\mu} \\ &= \frac{4\pi^4 N\kappa\hbar\mathrm{a}}{c\,\cosh(\pi cE/\hbar\mathrm{a})}\,\delta(\,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}'\,)\,\delta(E-E'\,)\,\delta_{\imath\jmath} \end{aligned} \tag{222}$$

where $\imath, \jmath = 1, 2$, whereas use has been made of the orthonormality relations of the spin states. Hence we eventually come to the two complete and orthonormal sets of normal modes for the massive spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp) &= c\sqrt{\frac{c^2}{\kappa\mathrm{a}}\,\cosh\pi\mu}\ \exp\{-i\mu t\,(\mathrm{a}/c) + i\,\mathbf{p}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp\}\,\varphi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(x) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{\kappa\mathrm{a}}\,\cosh\frac{\pi cE}{\hbar\mathrm{a}}}\ \ \exp\left\{-\,\frac{i}{\hbar}\,Et + i\mathbf{p}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp\right\}\,\varphi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(x) \end{aligned} \tag{223}$$

$$\varphi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(x) \equiv\ \frac{\theta(x)}{2\pi^2}\ \times\ \left\{\begin{array}{ll} u_-(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) - i\kappa\,\Upsilon^L_+\,K_{i\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = 1 \\ v_-(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) + i\kappa\,\Upsilon^R_+\,K_{i\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = 2 \end{array}\right. \tag{224}$$

$$\mathrm{p}\ \equiv\ (\,\mu,\mathbf{p}\,) = \left(\frac{Ec}{\hbar\mathrm{a}},\mathbf{p}\right) \qquad\qquad \mathrm{q}\ \equiv\ (\,\nu,\mathbf{q}\,) = \left(\frac{E'c}{\hbar\mathrm{a}},\mathbf{q}\right)$$

$$\left(\,\phi^{\jmath}_{\mathrm{q}}\,,\,\phi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}\,\right)\ =\ \hbar c\,\delta(\,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\,)\,\delta(E-E'\,)\,\delta_{\imath\jmath} \tag{225}$$

In the very same way we get the other complete orthonormal set of bispinors in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp) &= \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{\kappa\mathrm{a}}\,\cosh\pi\mu}\ \exp\{-i\mu t\,(\mathrm{a}/c) + i\,\mathbf{p}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp\}\,\chi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(x) \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{\kappa\mathrm{a}}\,\cosh\frac{\pi cE}{\hbar\mathrm{a}}}\ \ \exp\left\{-\,\frac{i}{\hbar}\,Et + i\mathbf{p}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp\right\}\,\chi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(x) \end{aligned} \tag{226}$$

$$\chi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(x) \equiv\ \frac{\theta(x)}{2\pi^2}\ \times\ \left\{\begin{array}{ll} u_+(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) + i\kappa\,\Upsilon^L_-\,K_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = 1 \\ v_+(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) - i\kappa\,\Upsilon^R_-\,K_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = 2 \end{array}\right. \tag{227}$$

$$\mathrm{p}\ \equiv\ (\,\mu,\mathbf{p}\,) = \left(\frac{Ec}{\hbar\mathrm{a}},\mathbf{p}\right) \qquad\qquad \mathrm{q}\ \equiv\ (\,\nu,\mathbf{q}\,) = \left(\frac{E'c}{\hbar\mathrm{a}},\mathbf{q}\right)$$

$$\left(\,\psi_{\mathrm{q}}^{\jmath}\,,\,\psi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}\,\right)=\hbar c\,\delta(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q})\,\delta(E-E^{\prime}\,)\,\delta_{\imath\jmath}$$

The above normalized bispinors belonging to both complete and orthonormal sets of solutions of the covariant massive Majorana spinor wave equation are dimensionless and turn out to be, as already noticed, equivalent and independent because

$$\left(\,\psi_{\mathrm{q}}^{\jmath}\,,\,\phi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}\,\right)\;=\;0\qquad\qquad(\,\forall\,\mu,\nu\in\mathbb{R}\,,\;\mathbf{p},\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\in\mathbb{R}^{2}\,,\;\imath,\jmath=1,2\,)\tag{228}$$

It is also important to gather that the normal modes (223) and (226) of the accelerated massive spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field do exhibit two helicity states, i.e. $\imath=1,2$.

5.5. *Canonical Quantization*

To proceed futher on, it is convenient to simplify a little bit the notations by introducing a multi-index which collectively labels all the quantum numbers of the covariant massive Majorana spinor solutions. To this purpose, we shall use the indices $\iota,\varkappa,\ell,\varpi,\varrho,\varsigma,\vartheta,\ldots$ to label the quartets of quantum numbers

$$\mathfrak{Q}\;=\;\{(E,\mathbf{p},r)\,|\;\,E\in\mathbb{R}\,,\;(p_{y},p_{z})\in\mathbb{R}^{2}\,,\;\imath=1,2\}$$

together with

$$\sum_{\iota\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}}\;\equiv\;\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{d}E}{\hbar c}\int\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathbf{p}\sum_{\jmath\,=\,1,2}=\frac{\mathrm{a}}{c^{2}}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}\nu\int\mathrm{d}^{2}\mathbf{p}\sum_{\jmath\,=\,1,2}\tag{229}$$

$$\delta_{\,\iota\varkappa}=\hbar c\,\delta(E-E^{\prime}\,)\,\delta(\,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\,)\,\delta_{\imath\jmath}=\frac{c^{2}}{\mathrm{a}}\,\delta(\nu-\nu^{\prime}\,)\,\delta(\,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}^{\prime}\,)\,\delta^{\,\imath\jmath}=\delta^{\,\iota\varkappa}\tag{230}$$

in such a manner that we can write the normal modes expansion of the quantized massive Majorana spinor hermitean fields in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_{R}$ in the form

$$\psi(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{\iota\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}}\left[\,a_{\iota}\,\psi_{\iota}(\mathrm{x})+a_{\iota}^{\dagger}\,\psi_{\iota}^{*}(\mathrm{x})\,\right]=\psi^{\dagger}(\mathrm{x})\qquad\qquad[\,\psi\,]=\mathrm{eV}^{\frac{3}{2}}\tag{231}$$

with

$$\psi_{\iota}(\mathrm{x})\;\equiv\;\psi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}(t,x,\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\qquad\qquad\psi_{\iota}^{*}(\mathrm{x})\;\equiv\;\bar{\psi}_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}(t,x,\mathbf{x}_{\perp})\,\beta_{M}$$

where the canonical anticommutation relations hold true, viz.,

$$\left\{a_{\varkappa}\,,\,a_{\ell}^{\dagger}\right\}=\delta^{\,\varkappa\ell}\qquad\qquad[\,a_{\varkappa}\,]=\mathrm{cm}^{\frac{3}{2}}\tag{232}$$

all other anticommutators being null. Notice that the canonical anticommutation relations drive towards the closure or completeness relation for the normal modes that reads

$$\begin{aligned}&\{\psi(\mathrm{x})\,,\,\overline{\psi}(\mathrm{y})\}\\=\;&\sum_{\iota\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}}\sum_{\varkappa\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}}\{a_{\iota}\,\psi_{\iota}(\mathrm{x})+a_{\iota}^{\dagger}\,\psi_{\iota}^{*}(\mathrm{x})\,,\,a_{\varkappa}^{\dagger}\,\overline{\psi}_{\varkappa}(\mathrm{y})+a_{\varkappa}\,\overline{\psi}_{\varkappa}^{\,*}(\mathrm{y})\}\\=\;&\sum_{\iota\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}}[\,\psi_{\iota}(\mathrm{x})\overline{\psi}_{\iota}(\mathrm{y})+\psi_{\iota}^{*}(\mathrm{x})\overline{\psi}_{\iota}^{\,*}(\mathrm{y})\,]=S(\mathrm{x}-\mathrm{y})\end{aligned}\tag{233}$$

In a similar way we find

$$\phi(\mathrm{x})=\sum_{\iota\,\in\,\mathfrak{Q}}\left[\,b_{\iota}\,\phi_{\iota}(\mathrm{x})+b_{\iota}^{\dagger}\,\phi_{\iota}^{*}(\mathrm{x})\,\right]=\phi^{\dagger}(\mathrm{x})\qquad\qquad[\,\phi\,]=\mathrm{eV}^{\frac{3}{2}}\tag{234}$$

with

$$\phi_\iota(\mathrm{x}) \;\equiv\; \phi^\imath_{\mathrm{p}}(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp) \qquad\qquad \phi^*_\iota(\mathrm{x}) \;\equiv\; \overline{\phi}^{\,\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(t,x,\mathbf{x}_\perp)\,\beta_M$$

where the canonical anticommutation relations hold true, viz.,

$$\Big\{ b_{\varkappa}\,,\, b^\dagger_\ell \Big\} = \delta^{\,\varkappa\ell} \qquad\qquad [\,a_{\varkappa}\,] = \mathrm{cm}^{\frac32} \tag{235}$$

all other anticommutators being equal to zero.

To better understand the physical meaning of the above solutions of the massive Majorana spinor wave equation in the Rindler accelerated coordinates system, it is utmost convenient to select the special class of noninertial reference frames in which $p_y = p_z = 0$. For any such an observer, that will be named transverse momentum rest frame instantaneous observer, we have for example

$$\psi^\imath_E(t,x) = \sqrt{\frac{c^2}{\kappa\mathrm{a}}\,\cosh\frac{\pi cE}{\hbar\mathrm{a}}}\;\;\exp\left\{-\,\frac{i}{\hbar}\,Et\right\}\,\chi^\imath_\nu(x) \tag{236}$$

$$\chi^\imath_\nu(x) \;=\; \frac{m}{2\pi^2}\,\theta(x)\left\{\begin{array}{ll} \Upsilon^L_+\,K_{i\nu-\frac12}(\kappa x) + i\,\Upsilon^L_-\,K_{i\nu+\frac12}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = \mathrm{L} \\ \Upsilon^R_+\,K_{i\nu-\frac12}(\kappa x) - i\,\Upsilon^R_-\,K_{i\nu+\frac12}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath = \mathrm{R} \end{array}\right. \tag{237}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &cH_M = \mathrm{a}x\left(\alpha^1_M\,\hat{P}_x + mc\beta_M\right) \\ &\hat{P}_x \quad = \left\{\begin{array}{l} -\,i\delta_+\,-\,E/\mathrm{a}x \\ -\,i\delta_-\,+\,E/\mathrm{a}x \end{array}\right. \\ &\beta_M\,\Upsilon^L_\pm \;=\; -\,\Upsilon^L_\mp \qquad\qquad \beta_M\,\Upsilon^R_\pm \;=\; \Upsilon^R_\mp \end{aligned} \tag{238}$$

and consequently

$$H_M\,\psi^\imath_E(t,x) \;=\; E\,\psi^\imath_E(t,x) \qquad (\,\imath \;=\; L,R\,) \tag{239}$$

$$\Sigma^1_M\,\psi^\imath_E(t,x) \;=\; \left\{\begin{array}{lll} +\,\psi^\imath_E(t,x) & \text{for} & \imath \;=\; L \\ -\,\psi^\imath_E(t,x) & \text{for} & \imath \;=\; R \end{array}\right. \tag{240}$$

It means that, for example, the 1-particle states

$$a^\dagger_\ell\,|\,0\,\rangle \;=\; |\,\ell+\rangle \;=\; |\,E\,\mathbf{p}\,\jmath\,\rangle \qquad\qquad (\,\forall\,\ell = E,\mathbf{p},\jmath\,) \tag{241}$$

will actually describe massive, neutral, spin $\frac12$ quanta, called pseudoparticles, with indefinite energy, i.e., $-\infty < E < \infty$, transverse wave numbers $p_y, p_z \in \mathbb{R}$ and definite helicities $\jmath = 1,2$, i.e. spin projection along and versus the direction of the accelerated observer, while

$$b^\dagger_\varrho\,|\,0\,\rangle \;=\; |\,\varrho-\rangle \;=\; |\,E\,\mathbf{p}\,\imath\,\rangle \qquad\qquad (\,\forall\,\varrho = E,\mathbf{p},\imath\,) \tag{242}$$

do describe massive neutral spin $\frac12$ particles with opposite helicities.

6. The Bogolyubov coefficients for Majorana Spinors

Now we are ready to generalize the method developed for the spinless and chargeless quantum field to the neutral Majorana bispinor quantum field. To this purpose, let us recall the normal modes expansion of a quantized Majorana Hermitean bispinor in an inertial reference frame of the Minkowski spacetime, i.e.,

$$\Psi_M(\mathrm{X}) = \sum_{\mathbf{P}\,,\,r}\left[A_{\mathbf{P},\,r}\,\Psi^{r}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathrm{X}) + A^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{P},\,r}\,\Psi^{r\,*}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathrm{X})\right] = \Psi^{\dagger}_M(\mathrm{X}) \tag{243}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}_M(\mathrm{X}) = \sum_{\mathbf{P}\,,\,r}\left[A^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{P},\,r}\,\overline{\Psi}^{\,r}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathrm{X}) + A_{\mathbf{P},\,r}\,\overline{\Psi}^{\,r\,*}_{\mathbf{P}}(\mathrm{X})\right] = \overline{\Psi}^{\,\dagger}_M(\mathrm{X}) \tag{244}$$

Now we have to express the above hermitean quantum field in Rindler's curvilinear coordinates according to (147), in which, however, we shall use the slightly modified and more convenient notation

$$\Psi^{r}_{\mathbf{K}}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,] \equiv [\,(2\pi)^3\,2\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,]^{-1/2}\;u^{r}_{\mathbf{K}}(t)\;\exp\{\,\mathrm{i}\Bbbk_t\,x + \mathrm{i}\,K_\perp\cdot x_\perp\} \tag{245}$$

$$\overline{\Psi}^{\,r}_{\mathbf{K}}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,] \equiv [\,(2\pi)^3\,2\omega_{\mathbf{K}}\,]^{-1/2}\;\bar{u}^{r}_{\mathbf{K}}(t)\;\exp\{-\,\mathrm{i}\Bbbk_t\,x - \mathrm{i}\,K_\perp\cdot x_\perp\} \tag{246}$$

where

$$\sum_{\mathbf{K}\,,\,r} \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^2K_\perp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{d}K \sum_{r\,=\,\uparrow\downarrow}$$

$$\Bbbk_t = K\cosh\eta - \omega_{\mathbf{K}}\sinh\eta$$

the spin states (132) being now time dependent and denoted by

$$u^{r}_{\mathbf{K}}(t) \equiv\; D[\,\Lambda(t)\,]\,u_r(\mathrm{K}) \qquad\qquad [\,\mathrm{K}^\mu = (\omega_{\mathbf{K}},\mathbf{K})\,,\; r = \uparrow\downarrow\,]$$

whereas the canonical anticommutation relations hold true

$$\{A_{\mathbf{P},\,r}\,,\,A_{\mathbf{K},\,s}\} = \left\{A^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{P},\,r}\,,\,A^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{K},\,s}\right\} = 0 \tag{247}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left\{A_{\mathbf{P},\,r}\,,\,A^{\dagger}_{\mathbf{K},\,s}\right\} \;&=\; \delta_{rs}\,\delta\,(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K})\\ \mathbf{P}\,,\mathbf{K}\in\mathbb{R}^3\,,\;\; r\,,\,s &= \uparrow\downarrow\end{aligned} \tag{248}$$

Notice that from the general invariant, time independent normalization in the spacelike region of the right Rindler's wedge

$$\begin{aligned}(\,\Psi^{s}_{\mathbf{P}}\,,\,\Psi^{r}_{\mathbf{K}}\,) \;&=\; \int \mathrm{d}^3X\;\overline{\Psi}^{\,s}_{\mathbf{P}}(\tau,X)\,\beta_M\,\Psi^{r}_{\mathbf{K}}(\tau,X)\\ &= \int_0^{\infty}\mathrm{d}x\int \mathrm{d}^2x_\perp\,\overline{\Psi}^{\,s}_{\mathbf{P}}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\,\beta_M\,\Psi^{r}_{\mathbf{K}}[\,\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{x})\,]\\ &= \delta^{rs}\;\delta(\mathbf{P}-\mathbf{K})\end{aligned} \tag{249}$$

we can readily obtain the normal modes expansions of the observables involving the Majorana massive spinor field. The Majorana field equation (143) which is satisfied by the real self-conjugated bispinor field for any inertial observer reads

$$\gamma^{\alpha}_{M}\,\partial_\alpha\Psi_M(\mathrm{X}) + im\,\Psi^{c}_{M}(\mathrm{X}) = 0 \qquad\qquad \Psi_M = \Psi^{c}_{M} = \Psi^{*}_{M} \tag{250}$$

To proceed further on consider the basic integrals

$$I_{i\nu\pm\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\;\equiv\;\int_0^\infty \mathrm{d}x\;\mathrm{e}^{-\,iPx}\,K_{i\nu\pm\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)\;=\;\frac{\pi\mathrm{cosec}(\mathrm{i}\pi\nu\pm\pi/2)}{\surd(-\kappa^2-P^2)}$$

$$\times\;\left[\kappa^{-i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{-\kappa^2-P^2}+iP\right)^{i\nu\pm\frac{1}{2}}-\kappa^{i\nu\pm\frac{1}{2}}\left(\sqrt{-\kappa^2-P^2}+iP\right)^{-i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}\right]$$

$$=\frac{\mp\pi i}{\omega_{\mathbf{P}}\,\cosh(\pi\nu)}\;\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\,\frac{1}{2}\pi\nu\pm\,\frac{1}{4}\pi i}\,\left(\frac{P+\omega_{\mathbf{P}}}{\kappa}\right)^{i\nu\pm\frac{1}{2}}-\;\mathrm{e}^{\,\frac{1}{2}\pi\nu\mp\,\frac{1}{4}\pi i}\,\left(\frac{P+\omega_{\mathbf{P}}}{\kappa}\right)^{-\,i\nu\mp\frac{1}{2}}\,\right]$$

so that if we set

$$\omega_{\mathbf{P}}=\kappa\cosh\theta\qquad\qquad P=\kappa\sinh\theta \tag{251}$$

$$\kappa^2=\omega_{\mathbf{P}}^2-P^2\qquad\qquad\theta=\mathrm{Arth}(\,P/\omega_{\mathbf{P}}\,) \tag{252}$$

we can recast the basic integral in the form [12]

$$\begin{aligned}I_{i\nu\pm\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)&=\frac{\pi}{2i\omega_{\mathbf{P}}\,\cosh(\pi\nu)}\;\left[\left(i\,\mathrm{e}^{\theta}\right)^{i\nu\pm1/2}-\left(i\,\mathrm{e}^{\theta}\right)^{-\,i\nu\mp1/2}\right]\\&=\frac{\pi}{\kappa\cosh\theta\,\cosh(\pi\nu)}\;\sin\left[\theta\nu+\frac{\pi i\nu}{2}\pm\frac{i\theta}{2}\pm\frac{\pi}{4}\right]\end{aligned} \tag{253}$$

The accelerated noninertial observer does instead employ e.g. the other complete and orthonormal set (226) of bispinors in the right Rindler wedge $\mathfrak{W}_R$

$$\psi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}(\mathrm{x})=\sqrt{\frac{1}{\kappa\mathrm{a}}\,\cosh\pi\mu}\;\exp\{-i\mathrm{a}\,\mu\,t+i\,\mathbf{p}\,\cdot\,\mathbf{x}_\perp\}\,\chi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}(x)$$

$$\chi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}(x)\equiv\frac{1}{2\pi^2}\;\times\;\begin{cases}u_+(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\mu\,-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)+i\kappa\,\Upsilon_-^L\,K_{i\mu\,+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath=1\\ v_+(\mathbf{p})\,K_{i\mu\,-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x)-i\kappa\,\Upsilon_-^R\,K_{i\mu\,+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa x) & \text{for } \imath=2\end{cases}\qquad(x\geq 0)$$

$$\mathrm{p}\;\equiv\;(\,\mu,\mathbf{p}\,)=\left(\frac{E}{\mathrm{a}},\mathbf{p}\right)\qquad\qquad\mathrm{q}\;\equiv\;(\,\nu,\mathbf{q}\,)=\left(\frac{E'}{\mathrm{a}},\mathbf{q}\right)$$

$$\left(\,\psi_{\mathrm{q}}^{\jmath}\,,\,\psi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}\,\right)=\frac{1}{\mathrm{a}}\,\delta(\,\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{q}\,)\,\delta(\mu-\nu\,)\,\delta^{\imath\jmath}$$

The Bogolyubov coefficients for the hermitean self-conjugated Majorana quantized bispinor field are thereby defined as follows: namely,

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha(\mathbf{P},r\,;\mathrm{p},\imath)\equiv\;&\left(\,\Psi_{\mathbf{P}}^{r}\,,\,\psi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}\,\right)=2\pi\,\delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp-\mathbf{p})\\&\times\;\sqrt{\frac{\cosh\pi\mu}{4\pi\kappa\mathrm{a}\omega_{\mathbf{P}}}}\;\int_0^\infty\bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}^{r}(0)\beta_M\chi_{\mathrm{p}}^{\imath}(x)\;\mathrm{e}^{-iPx}\,\mathrm{d}x\\&=\delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp-\mathbf{p})\;\sqrt{\frac{c^3}{2\pi\mathrm{a}\omega_{\mathbf{P}}}}\\&\times\;\left\{\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}^{\imath\,r}\,I_{i\mu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)+\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}^{\imath\,r}\,I_{i\mu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\right\}\end{aligned} \tag{254}$$

where we have introduced the spin correlation matrices

$$\mathbb{R}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}^{\imath\,r}=\frac{1}{\pi}\;\begin{pmatrix}\bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\uparrow}\beta_M u_+(\mathbf{p}) & \bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\downarrow}\beta_M u_+(\mathbf{p})\\ \bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\uparrow}\beta_M v_+(\mathbf{p}) & \bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\downarrow}\beta_M v_+(\mathbf{p})\end{pmatrix}\;\sqrt{\frac{\cosh\pi\mu}{2\kappa}} \tag{255}$$

$$\overline{\mathbb{R}}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}^{\imath\,r}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi}\;\begin{pmatrix}\bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\uparrow}\beta_M\Upsilon_-^L & \bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\downarrow}\beta_M\Upsilon_-^L\\ -\,\bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\uparrow}\beta_M\Upsilon_-^R & -\,\bar{u}_{\mathbf{P}}^{\downarrow}\beta_M\Upsilon_-^R\end{pmatrix}\;\sqrt{\tfrac{1}{2}\,\kappa\cosh\pi\mu} \tag{256}$$

Notice that we have suitably factorized the classical volume factor

$$\delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{p})\,\sqrt{\frac{c^3}{2\pi\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}}}}$$

just like in the spinless case. Then the Bogolyubov coefficients read

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha(\mathbf{P},\uparrow;\mathrm{p},1) = \Big(\,\Psi^{\uparrow}_{\mathbf{P}}\,,\,\psi^{1}_{\mathrm{p}}\Big) \qquad (257)\\
&= -\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,(1-\mathrm{i})\;\delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{p})\,\sqrt{\frac{\cosh\pi\nu}{(2\pi)^3\kappa\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}}(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}})}}\\
&\times\Big\{\,\big[\,m^2 + (m+p_y)\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}} + p_y^2 + p_x\,(m+p_y+ip_z) + p_z(p_z+i\omega_{\mathbf{P}})\,\big]\\
&\times I_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P) - i\kappa\,(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}}-p_x+p_y+ip_z)\,I_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\Big\}\\
&\alpha(\mathbf{P},\uparrow;\mathrm{p},2) = \Big(\,\Psi^{\uparrow}_{\mathbf{P}}\,,\,\psi^{2}_{\mathrm{p}}\Big) \qquad (258)\\
&= -\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,(1-\mathrm{i})\;\delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{p})\,\sqrt{\frac{\cosh\pi\nu}{(2\pi)^3\kappa\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}}(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}})}}\\
&\times\Big\{\,\big[\,m^2 + (m-p_y)\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}} + p_y^2 + p_x(m-p_y+ip_z) + p_z(p_z+i\omega_{\mathbf{P}})\,\big]\\
&\times I_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P) - i\kappa\,(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}}-p_x-p_y+ip_z)\,I_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\Big\}\\
&\alpha(\mathbf{P},\downarrow;\mathrm{p},1) = \Big(\,\Psi^{\downarrow}_{\mathbf{P}}\,,\,\psi^{1}_{\mathrm{p}}\Big) \qquad (259)\\
&= -\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,(1+\mathrm{i})\;\delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{p})\,\sqrt{\frac{\cosh\pi\nu}{(2\pi)^3\kappa\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}}(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}})}}\\
&\times\Big\{\,\big[\,m^2 + (m-p_y)\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}} + p_y^2 + p_x\,(m-p_y-ip_z) + p_z\,(p_z-i\omega_{\mathbf{P}})\,\big]\\
&\times I_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P) - i\kappa\,(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}}-p_x-p_y-ip_z)\,I_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\Big\}\\
&\alpha(\mathbf{P},\downarrow;\mathrm{p},2) = \Big(\,\Psi^{\downarrow}_{\mathbf{P}}\,,\,\psi^{2}_{\mathrm{p}}\Big) \qquad (260)\\
&= -\,\tfrac{1}{2}\,(1+\mathrm{i})\;\delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp - \mathbf{p})\,\sqrt{\frac{\cosh\pi\nu}{(2\pi)^3\kappa\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}}(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}})}}\\
&\times\Big\{\,\big[\,m^2 + (m+p_y)\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}} + p_y^2 + p_x\,(m+p_y-ip_z) + p_z(p_z-i\omega_{\mathbf{P}})\,\big]\\
&\times I_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P) - i\kappa\,(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}}-p_x+p_y-ip_z)\,I_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

Now it is possible to verify that we have the following sum rule for the dimensionless coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\imath=1,2}\ \sum_{r=\uparrow\downarrow}\Big|\,\mathbb{R}^{\imath r}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}\,I_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P) + \overline{\mathbb{R}}^{\imath r}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}\,I_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\,\Big|^2\\
&= \frac{\kappa^2}{\pi^2}\,\cosh(\pi\nu)\Big\{\mathrm{e}^{-\theta}\,|\,I_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\,|^2 + \mathrm{e}^{\theta}\,|\,I_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\,|^2\\
&+\,\mathrm{i}\,\Big[\,I^{*}_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\,I_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P) - I_{i\nu+\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\,I^{*}_{i\nu-\frac{1}{2}}(\kappa,P)\,\Big]\,\Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad \frac{2}{1+\mathrm{e}^{2\pi\nu}} \;\equiv\; \bar{N}_\nu \tag{261}$$

where the hyperbolic parameter θ – call it *rapidity* – is defined by eq.s (251) and (252).

In order to determine the complementary Bogolyubov coefficients $\beta(\mathbf{P}, r\,;\mathrm{p},\imath)$, we need to employ Minkowskian normal modes of negative frequencies that read

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta(\mathbf{P}, r\,;\mathrm{p},\imath) \equiv \; & \left(\,\Psi^{r\,*}_{\mathbf{P}}\,,\,\psi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}\,\right) = 2\pi\,\delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp+\mathbf{p}) \\
& \times\,\sqrt{\frac{\cosh\pi\mu}{4\pi\kappa\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}}}}\;\int_0^\infty [\,\bar{u}^{\,r}_{\mathbf{P}}(0)\,]^*\beta_M\chi^{\imath}_{\mathrm{p}}(x)\;\mathrm{e}^{iPx}\,\mathrm{d}x \\
& = \delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp+\mathbf{p})\,\sqrt{\frac{c^3}{2\pi\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}}}} \\
& \times\;\left\{\mathbb{S}^{\imath\,r}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}\,I^*_{i\mu+\frac12}(\kappa,P)+\overline{\mathbb{S}}^{\imath\,r}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}\,I^*_{i\mu-\frac12}(\kappa,P)\right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{262}$$

where we have introduced the spin correlation matrices

$$\mathbb{S}^{\imath\,r}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}} = \frac{1}{\pi}\,\left(\begin{array}{cc} \bar{u}^{\uparrow *}_{\mathbf{P}}\beta_M u_+(\mathbf{p}) & \bar{u}^{\downarrow *}_{\mathbf{P}}\beta_M u_+(\mathbf{p}) \\ \bar{u}^{\uparrow *}_{\mathbf{P}}\beta_M v_+(\mathbf{p}) & \bar{u}^{\downarrow *}_{\mathbf{P}}\beta_M v_+(\mathbf{p}) \end{array}\right)\,\sqrt{\frac{\cosh\pi\mu}{2\kappa}} \tag{263}$$

$$\overline{\mathbb{S}}^{\imath\,r}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}} = \frac{\mathrm{i}}{\pi}\,\left(\begin{array}{cc} \bar{u}^{\uparrow *}_{\mathbf{P}}\beta_M \Upsilon^L_- & \bar{u}^{\downarrow *}_{\mathbf{P}}\beta_M \Upsilon^L_- \\ -\,\bar{u}^{\uparrow *}_{\mathbf{P}}\beta_M \Upsilon^R_- & -\,\bar{u}^{\downarrow *}_{\mathbf{P}}\beta_M \Upsilon^R_- \end{array}\right)\,\sqrt{\tfrac12\,\kappa\cosh\pi\mu} \tag{264}$$

Thus we get for example

$$\beta(\mathbf{P},\uparrow;\mathrm{p},1) = \left(\,\Psi^{\uparrow *}_{\mathbf{P}}\,,\,\psi^{1}_{\mathrm{p}}\right) \tag{265}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& = \tfrac12\,(1+\mathrm{i})\;\delta(\mathbf{P}_\perp+\mathbf{p})\,\sqrt{\frac{\cosh\pi\nu}{(2\pi)^3\kappa\mathrm{a}\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}}(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}})}} \\
& \times\,\Big\{\,\big[\,m^2+(m-p_y)\,\omega_{\mathbf{P}}+p_y^2+p_x\,(m-p_y-ip_z)+p_z(p_z-i\omega_{\mathbf{P}})\,\big] \\
& \times\,I^*_{i\nu+\frac12}(\kappa,P)+i\kappa\,(m+\omega_{\mathbf{P}}-p_x-p_y-ip_z)\,I^*_{i\nu-\frac12}(\kappa,P)\Big\}
\end{aligned}$$

and quite closer formulas for the three remaining components. Once again, just like in the case of the $\alpha-$type Bogolyubov coefficients, it is straightforward although tedious to check the following sum rule for the dimensionless coefficients

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\imath=1,2}\,\sum_{r=\uparrow\downarrow}\left|\,\mathbb{S}^{\imath\,r}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}\,I^*_{i\nu+\frac12}(\kappa,P)+\overline{\mathbb{S}}^{\imath\,r}_{\mathrm{p}\mathbf{P}}\,I^*_{i\nu-\frac12}(\kappa,P)\,\right|^2 \\
& = \frac{\kappa^2}{\pi^2}\,\cosh(\pi\nu)\,\Big\{\mathrm{e}^{-\theta}\,|\,I_{i\nu-\frac12}(\kappa,P)\,|^2+\mathrm{e}^{\theta}\,|\,I_{i\nu+\frac12}(\kappa,P)\,|^2 \\
& +\,\mathrm{i}\,\Big[\,I^*_{i\nu-\frac12}(\kappa,P)\,I_{i\nu+\frac12}(\kappa,P)-I_{i\nu-\frac12}(\kappa,P)\,I^*_{i\nu+\frac12}(\kappa,P)\,\Big]\,\Big\} \\
& = \quad \frac{2}{1+\mathrm{e}^{-\,2\pi\nu}} \;\equiv\; N_\nu
\end{aligned} \tag{266}$$

which drives to the expected Pauli principle and spin sum relations: namely,

$$N_\nu+\bar{N}_\nu = 2\mathrm{S}+1 \qquad\quad \forall\,\nu=\frac{Ec}{\hbar\mathrm{a}} \qquad\quad \left(\,\mathrm{S}=\tfrac12\,\right) \tag{267}$$

7. Discussion and Conclusions

In this paper we have calculated the Bogolyubov coefficients which express the probability amplitude for particle creation out of the inertial vacuum for a neutral, massive, spin $\frac{1}{2}$ field in a Rindler coordinate system, i.e., as experienced by some uniformly accelerated observer. Our method for the evaluation of probability amplitudes is nothing but a straightforward application of the very definition. As a result, the Bogolyubov coefficients can be eventually expressed in closed parametric form in terms of the rapidity $\theta = \mathrm{Arsh}(P/\kappa)$, where P and κ are the longitudinal and transverse momenta of the WIMP particle in an inertial reference frame – transverse and longitudinal are understood with respect the the direction of the acceleration. In such a situation the spin $\frac{1}{2}$ WIMP candidates would be arranged in a Fermi-Dirac distribution at the thermal equilibrium with an absolute temperature

$$T_{\mathrm{cosmic}} = \frac{\hbar H}{2\pi k_{\mathrm{B}}} \;\approx\; 3.0 \times 10^{-30}\ {}^{\circ}\mathrm{K}$$

the Unruh cosmic temperature, that corresponds to the measured cosmic acceleration

$$\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{cosmic}} = cH \;\approx\; 9.8 \times 10^{-10}\ \mathrm{m\ s}^{-2}$$

On the one hand, taking into account that $k_{\mathrm{B}}T_{\mathrm{cosmic}} \approx 2.5\times10^{-34}$ erg, it appears that the populated levels are those ones with extremely high (deep ultra-relativistic) energies, much higher than the Planck scale. On the other hand, a quite close phenomenon occurs, *mutatis mutandis*, in the vicinity of a black-hole horizon [13], so that the present calculation might be also useful in the Quantum Gravity framework. Last but not least, our method of calculation can be further applied to the evaluation of the Bogolyubov coefficients for the emission of charged spin $\frac{1}{2}$ pairs and vector particles in a uniformly accelerated reference frame, which are still unknown.

Acknowledgments

R.S. wishes to acknowledge the support of the Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare, Iniziativa Specifica PI14, that contributed to the successful completion of this project. The work of P.L. is supported by the DOE under grant DE-FG02-96ER40959

References

[1] J. Beringer *et al.* (Particle Data Group), *Dark Matter*, Phys. Rev. D**86**, 010001 (2012) (`http://pdg.lbl.gov`);
Anupam Mazumdar, *The origin of dark matter, matter-anti-matter asymmetry, and inflation*, e-Print: arXiv:1106.5408v1 [hep-ph];
S. Capozziello, L. Consiglio, M. De Laurentis, G. De Rosa, C. Di Donato, e-Print: arXiv:1110.5026v2 [astro-ph.CO].

[2] S. Perlmutter, *Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 high redshift supernovae*, Astrophysical Journal **517** (2), 565-586 (1999).

[3] Adam G. Riess, *Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating Universe and a cosmological constant*, Astronomical Journal **116** (3), 1009-1038 (1998).

[4] William George Unruh, *Notes on black-hole evaporation*, Phys. Rev. D**14** (1976) 870-892.

[5] Luis C.B. Crispino, Atsushi Higuchi, George E.A. Matsas, *The Unruh effect and its applications*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **80** (2008) 787-838, e-Print: arXiv:0710.5373 [gr-qc]

[6] Nikolay Nikolaevich Bogolyubov, *On the Theory of Superfluidity* (in Russian) Izv. Academii Nauk USSR **11** (1): 77 (1947); Journal of Physics **11** (1): 23-32 (1947); *Lectures on Quantum Statistics. Problems of Statistical Mechanics of Quantum Systems*, New York, Gordon and Breach (1967).

[7] N.D. Birrel and P.C.W. Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (UK) 1982.

[8] P. Longhi, R. Soldati, Phys. Rev. D**83** (2011) 107701, e-Print: arXiv:1101.5976 [hep-th].

[9] S.A. Fulling, Phys. Rev. D **7**, 2850 (1973).

[10] A.M. Fedotov, V.D. Mur, N.B. Narozhny, V.A. Belinskiĭ, B.M. Karnakov, Phys. Lett. A **254** (1999) 126-132.

[11] Steven Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology. Principles and Applications of the General Theory of Relativity*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972.

[12] I.S. Gradshteyn, I.M. Ryzhik, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, Fifth Edition, Alan Jeffrey Editor, Academic Press, San Diego, 1996.

[13] Y. Nomura, J. Varela, Sean J. Weinberg, e-Print: arXiv:1210.6348 [hep-th].